STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

1	The parties further consent to and request that the Court retain jurisdiction over	
2	enforcement of the Agreement. See Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of Am., 511 U.S.	
3	375, 381-82 (1994) (empowering the district courts to retain jurisdiction over enforcement of	
4	settlement agreements).	
5		Respectfully Submitted,
6	Dated: August 16, 2016	THOMAS E. FRANKOVICH, APLC
7		A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
8		By: _/s/ Thomas E. Frankovich
9		Thomas E. Frankovich
10		Attorney for Plaintiffs
11	Dated: August 16, 2016	LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL HEATH
12		
13		By: /s/ Michael Heath Michael Heath
14		Attorney for Defendants GEORGE CHANG and
15		JENNY CHANG
16	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
17	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is dismissed with prejudice pursuant to	
18	Fed.R.Civ.P.41(a)(2) and that each side is to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees. IT IS	
19	FURTHER ORDERED that the Court shall retain jurisdiction for the purpose of enforcing	
20		
21	the parties' Settlement Agreement and General Release should such enforcement become	
22	necessary.	
23	Dated: <u>8/30</u> , 2016	Landis Westers
24		Hon Kandis A. Westmore
25		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26		
27		
28		

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL AND [PROPOSED] ORDER