

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In re PW SUPERMARKETS, INC.,
Debtor.

UFCW & EMPLOYERS BENEFIT
TRUST FUND, et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
JOY LYNN BELLI, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. 15-cv-02615-PJH

Bankr. Ct. Adv. Case. No. 14-4150

**ORDER ADOPTING
RECOMMENDATION OF
BANKRUPTCY COURT AND DENYING
MOTION TO WITHDRAW REFERENCE**


The court hereby adopts the recommendation of the bankruptcy court regarding withdrawal of the bankruptcy reference and DENIES the motion of defendants Pahl & McCay and Stephen D. Pahl (collectively "Pahl & McCay") for an order withdrawing reference of the bankruptcy adversary proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(d).

Pahl & McCay have not demonstrated that the legal malpractice and breach of fiduciary claims asserted against them in this adversary proceeding require mandatory withdrawal pursuant to the second sentence of 28 U.S.C. § 157(d), which provides as follows: "The district court shall, on timely motion of a party, so withdraw a proceeding if the court determines that resolution of the proceeding requires consideration of both title 11 and other laws of the United States regulating organizations or activities affecting interstate commerce." Nor is immediate withdrawal of the reference warranted pursuant to the first sentence of § 157(d) governing permissive withdrawal: "The district court may withdraw, in whole or in part, any case or proceeding referred under this section, on its

1 own motion or on timely motion of any party, for cause shown.” 28 U.S.C. § 157(d).
2 Though Pahl & McCay have made a jury demand, which the bankruptcy court has
3 determined was timely, the court does not find cause for immediate withdrawal of the
4 non-core claims against them, considering the efficient use of judicial resources in light of
5 the bankruptcy court’s familiarity with this litigation and ability to resolve pretrial disputes.
6 See *In re Healthcentral.com*, 504 F.3d 775, 787 (9th Cir. 2007) (“a Seventh Amendment
7 jury trial right does not mean the bankruptcy court must instantly give up jurisdiction and
8 that the case must be transferred to the district court. Instead, the bankruptcy court is
9 permitted to retain jurisdiction over the action for pre-trial matters.”) (citations omitted).
10 Pursuant to Bankruptcy Local Rule 9015-2(b), the bankruptcy court is authorized to retain
11 the claims against Pahl & McCay until all pretrial matters, including motions for summary
12 judgment for which the bankruptcy court may submit proposed findings of fact and
13 conclusions of law, are resolved. Accordingly, the motion of Pahl & McCay to withdraw
14 the reference is DENIED as premature.

15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

16 Dated: July 24, 2015

17 
18 _____
19 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
20 United States District Judge
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28