

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MATTHEW JOHNSON,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
COMCAST OF  
CALIFORNIA/COLORADO/  
WASHINGTON I, INC,  
Defendant.

Case No. [10-cv-04147-JSW](#)

DUSTIN POOLE,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
COMCAST CORPORATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [13-cv-03772-JSW](#)

DANIEL CANADAY, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
COMCAST CORPORATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [15-cv-04648-JSW](#)

EDMUNDO ORTEGA, JR., et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
COMCAST CORPORATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [15-cv-04676-JSW](#)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

JASON WILLIAMS, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
COMCAST CORPORATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [15-cv-04732-JSW](#)

LAMBERTO VALENCIA, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
COMCAST CORPORATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [15-cv-04771-JSW](#)

JEFFREY COLEMAN, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
COMCAST CORPORATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [15-cv-04782-JSW](#)

RICHARD NELSON, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
COMCAST CORPORATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [15-cv-04793-JSW](#)

CALEB DUBOIS, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
COMCAST CORPORATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [15-cv-04809-JSW](#)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

GREGORY PETERS, et al.,  
Plaintiffs,  
v.  
COMCAST CORPORATION, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [15-cv-04869-JSW](#)

**ORDER TO PARTIES TO MEET AND  
CONFER AND FILE JOINT STATUS  
REPORT FOR THE PURPOSE OF  
DETERMINING RELATIONSHIP  
BETWEEN CASES**

Currently pending before this Court are two sets of related cases.

First, *Johnson v. Comcast of California/Colorado/Washington I, Inc.*, No. 10-cv-04147-JSW, and *Poole v. Comcast Corporation*, No. 13-cv-03772-JSW, are related to each other. *Johnson* and *Poole* are stayed pending final resolution of *Fayerweather v. Comcast Corporation and Comcast of Contra Costa, Inc.*, Superior Court of California in and for Contra Costa County No. C-08-01470. The parties in *Johnson* and *Poole* have been ordered to submit status reports to this court every 120 days, which are currently overdue.

Second, *Canaday v. Comcast Corp.*, 15-cv-04648-JSW; *Ortega v. Comcast Corp.*, 15-cv-04676-JSW; *Williams v. Comcast Corp.*, 15-cv-04732-JSW; *Valencia v. Comcast Corp.*, 15-cv-04771-JSW; *Coleman v. Comcast Corp.*, 15-cv-04782-JSW; *Nelson v. Comcast Corp.*, 15-cv-04793-JSW; *DuBois v. Comcast Corp.*, 15-cv-04809-JSW; and *Peters v. Comcast Corp.*, 15-cv-04869-JSW, are related to each other. Plaintiffs in these cases were previously class members in the *Fayerweather* action. The Court has set a trial date in *Canaday* and *Valencia*. The Court has set a cut-off for fact discovery in *Ortega*, *Williams*, *Coleman*, *Nelson*, *DuBois*, and *Peters* that follows the trials in *Canaday* and *Valencia*. A further case management conference is scheduled in these eight related cases for September 9, 2016 at 11:00 a.m.


The Court hereby ORDERS the parties in each of the above-captioned cases to meet and confer with each other regarding whether these ten cases should all be related to each other or otherwise coordinated. See N.D. Cal. Civil L.R. 1-5(n), 3-12. Additionally, the Court ORDERS the parties in each of the above-captioned cases to meet and confer regarding whether a stay of any or all of these cases remains, or is now, appropriate under *Colorado River Water Conservation District v. United States*, 424 U.S. 800, 818-19 (1976). Following the meet-and-confer process,

1 the parties shall file a joint status report and/or an appropriate motion in each of these ten cases, no  
2 later than August 1, 2016, addressing the issues raised in this order. The parties are encouraged to  
3 file a single joint status report in all ten of the related cases, which may have separate subsections  
4 for case-specific topics. The parties may also file case-specific joint status reports as necessary.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6 Dated: July 13, 2016

7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JEFFREY S. WHITE  
United States District Judge