## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Jason Williams, et al.,	CASE NO. 4:15-cv-04732-JSW
Plaintiff(s),	CASE 1001.13 CV 01/32 35 W
v. Comcast Corporation; Comcast of Contra Costa Inc., Defendant(s).	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Counsel report that they have met and co following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8	onferred regarding ADR and have reached the 8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following	ADR process:
Court Processes:  Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)  Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)  (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement appreciably more likely to meet their needs than ADR phone conference and may not file this for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 10  Private Process:  Private ADR (please identify pro	(ADR L.R. 5)  ent conference with a Magistrate Judge is any other form of ADR must participate in an rm. They must instead file a Notice of Need for 6-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:  the presumptive deadline (The de referring the case to an ADR pro  other requested deadline	,
Dated: 12/21/15	/s/ Allison Moser Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 12/21/15	/s/ John Glugoski Attorney for Defendant

The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED.
The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 4, 2016

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Mediation."