1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6	EUREKA DIVISION
7 8 9 10 11	LAMBERTO VALENCIA, et al., Plaintiffs, v. V. Comcast corporation, et al., Defendants. Plaintifs, Defendants. Plaintiffs, Defendants. Plaintiffs, Case No. 15-cv-04771-JSW (NJV) ORDER RE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS Re: Dkt. No. 56
12	
13	On September 22, 2016, Defendant Comcast ("Comcast") filed a Motion for Sanctions in
14	this action. (Doc. 56.) Comcast alleged that 1) numerous Plaintiffs had not complied with the
15	court's order to provide signed and dated verifications to their interrogatory responses; 2) Plaintiff
16	Ryan Murray had failed to comply with the order to sit for his deposition or dismiss his case; 3)
17	certain Plaintiffs had failed to comply with the order to respond to particular requests for
18	production of documents, and 4) certain Plaintiffs' supplemental responses were incomplete. The
19	court held a hearing on this matter on November 1, 2016. (Doc. 71.) The matter was heard before
20	the court again on December 8, 2016. (Doc. 77.) At that hearing, Plaintiffs' counsel indicated that
21	all non-responding Plaintiffs would be dismissed. The parties confirmed that no issues remain to
22	be resolved at this time.
23	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Comcast's Motion for Sanctions is
24	DENIED.
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	Dated: February 21, 2017
27	NANDOR J. VADAS
28	United States Magistrate Judge

United States District Court Northern District of California