

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

HEIDI FARRARA,
Plaintiff,

v.

**COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS
MANAGEMENT, LLC,**
Defendant.

Case No. 15-cv-04867-YGR

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: REMAND FOR
LACK OF DIVERSITY JURISDICTION**

TO DEFENDANT COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS MANAGEMENT, LLC,
AND ITS COUNSEL OF RECORD:

YOU ARE HEREBY ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE in writing by no later than **January 4, 2016**, as to why this action should not be remanded for lack of jurisdiction.

Defendant removed this case from the Superior Court of California, County of Marin on October 22, 2015 based on diversity jurisdiction. (Dkt. No. 1.) On December 7, 2015, the case was reassigned to the undersigned. (Dkt. No. 11.)

For proper diversity jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a), there must be “complete diversity,” i.e., “the citizenship of each plaintiff [must be] diverse from the citizenship of each defendant.” *Caterpillar Inc. v. Lewis*, 519 U.S. 61, 68 (1996). Here, defendant generally alleges in its notice of removal that the above-captioned matter “is a civil action between citizens of different states” because plaintiff is believed to be a California resident and defendant Comcast Cable Communications Management, LLC is a limited liability company organized under Delaware law with its principal place of business in Pennsylvania. (Dkt. No. 1 ¶¶ 5-7.) However, the notice of removal lacks the requisite allegations as to the citizenship of each member of defendant Comcast Cable Communications Management, LLC. *See Johnson v. Columbia Properties Anchorage, LP*, 437 F.3d 894, 899 (9th Cir. 2006) (holding diversity jurisdiction

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

depends on the citizenship of *all members* of partnerships or limited liability corporations)
(emphasis added).

Defendant's filing shall not exceed five (5) pages, exclusive of any attached
documentation. Plaintiff may file a response thereto, also not to exceed five (5) pages, by no later
than **January 8, 2016**. The Court hereby **SETS** a hearing on this Order to Show Cause for
January 15, 2016 at 9:01 a.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 18, 2015


YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE