IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 3 JEROME L. GRIMES, No. C 15-80147M CW 4 5 Plaintiff, 6 v. 7 MYERS URBATSCH, PC, 8 Defendant. 9 No. C 15-80155M CW 10 JEROME L. GRIMES, 11 Plaintiff, 12 v. 13 MICHAEL ALHSTROM, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 JEROME L. GRIMES, No. C 15-80167M CW 16 17 Plaintiff, 18 v. 19 MIESHALEEN TITUS, et al., 20 Defendants. 21 No. C 15-80175M CW 22 JEROME L. GRIMES, 23 Plaintiff, 24 v. 25 CITCO OIL COMPANY, et al., 26 27 Defendants. 28

1	JEROME L. GRIMES,		
2	Plaintiff, No. C 15-80194M CW		
3	V.		
4	UNKNOWN, ORDER RETURNING		
5	Defendant. COMPLAINTS TO		
6	/ PLAINTIFF		
7	On December 9, 2005, this Court entered a pre-filing order		
8	regarding the cases filed by Plaintiff Jerome Grimes. The pre-		
9	filing order states that if Mr. Grimes files a complaint that is		
10	"related to any of the following matters:		
11	(1) a diversified group of individuals who commit acts of terror against Mr. Grimes, his family and other citizens;		
12			
13	(2) an injunction against the defendants to prevent them from kidnaping, framing, falsely imprisoning or otherwise terrorizing Mr. Grimes, his family, and other citizens;		
14			
15			
16	(3) a court order for the defendants to be subjected to a lie detector test;		
17	(4) covert terrorism		
18	it will not be filed unless it presents cognizable claims that		
19	are not based on merely conclusory allegations. Second, no other		
20	complaints filed by Mr. Grimes while he is not incarcerated or		
21	detained will be filed unless they contain intelligible factual		
22	allegations and claims for relief."		
23	The Court has reviewed the above-captioned complaints filed		
24	by Mr. Grimes and finds that they shall not be filed because they		
25	relate to matters described by the pre-filing order or fail to		
26	contain intelligible factual allegations and claims for relief.		
27			
28			
	2		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

1

I. Case No. 15-80147

The complaint in this case makes various allegations surrounding the administration of Plaintiff's mother's estate following her death in 2012. The complaint does not contain intelligible factual allegations and claims for relief. Accordingly, it shall not be filed.

II. Case Nos. 15-80155, 15-80167, 15-80175 and 15-80194

The Court finds that the complaints in these cases shall not be filed because they allege that Defendants are engaged in terrorism. One of the cases, 14-80155 also seeks a court order for Defendants to be subjected to a lie detector test. Mr. Grimes alleges no cognizable causes of action in these complaints.

CONCLUSION


Because the above-captioned complaints concern multiple matters mentioned in the pre-filing order, present no cognizable cause of action or fail to contain intelligible factual allegations and claims for relief, the Clerk of the Court is ordered not to file them. Instead, the complaints shall be returned to Mr. Grimes.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated:7/29/15

Judiale *

CLAUDIA WILKEN United States District Judge



1	Jerome L. Grimes	
	500 Office Center Drive Suite 400	
2	Ft. Washington, PA 19034 (Original Complaints Returned)	
3		
4	Dated: July 29, 2015	
5		
6		Richard W. Wieking
7		Clerk, United States District Court
8		1
9		By: Gram E. Balland
10		Jean Ballard, Deputy Clerk to the Honorable CLAUDIA WILKEN
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
23		
24 25		
26		
27		
28		-
		5

United States District Court Northern District of California