

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3
4 In re cases filed by No. C 16-505 CW
5 FRANKLIN H. WRIGHT, PRE-FILING ORDER
6 Plaintiff.

7 _____/
8
9 On May 10, 2016, this Court entered an Order to Show Cause
10 why the Court should not issue a pre-filing order. Franklin H.
11 Wright has failed to file a statement showing cause why this order
12 should not be issued within the time allotted.¹

13 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court shall not
14 accept for filing any further complaints filed by Franklin Wright
15 a.k.a. Jesse Swartz, until that complaint has first been reviewed
16 by the Court. If the complaint is related to any of the following
17 subject matters or legal theories:

- 18 (1) Violation of some duty owed;
- 19 (2) Mention of the Federal Tort Claims Act or attachment of
20 Federal Tort Claims Act "claim letters";
- 21 (3) Other cases previously filed in federal courts;
- 22 (4) Requests to compel any defendant to answer questions,
23 perform investigations or evaluate policy proposals;

24
25 _____
26 ¹ The Order to Show Cause was returned to the Court as
27 undeliverable. Documents have been returned to the Court in this
28 case since March 14, 2016. Wright has never notified the Court of
an address change. See Civil Local Rule 3-11(a) ("Duty to
Notify").

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

(5) Obstruction of Plaintiff's legal process or legal research; or

(6) Conspiracies to "train" Plaintiff,

it will not be filed unless it presents cognizable claims. All cases filed by Plaintiff shall be forwarded to the undersigned for pre-filing review.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 11, 2016



CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge