Ļ	
United States District Court	For the Northern District of California

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

1						
2						
3						
4						
5	IN THE LINE	TED STATES DISTRICT COLIDT				
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
8						
9	DARNELL C. WILLIAMS,	No. C 16-1105 JSW				
10	Plaintiff,					
11	V.	ORDER RE CONSENT				
12	CAROLYN W. COLVIN,					
13	Defendant.					
14						
15	In cases initially assigned to a d	istrict judge, the parties may consent at any time to				
16	reassignment of the case to a magistrate judge for all purposes, including entry of final judg					

ment. See Civil L.R. 73-1(b). The parties are DIRECTED to advise the Court, no later than April 8, 2016, as to whether they consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the instant action. For the parties' convenience, consent forms are available at http://www.cand.uscourts.gov, in the "Forms" section. The parties are further advised that they may jointly request assignment to a specific magistrate judge.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 7, 2016

24

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the Court in their joint case management statement filed in connection with a case management conference. Because the instant action involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case management conference has not been scheduled.