1	Laura L. Ho (SBN 173179) lho@gbdhlegal.com				
2	William Jhaveri-Weeks (SBN 289984) wjhaveriweeks@gbdhlegal.com				
3	Megan E. Ryan (SBN 264922) mryan@gbdhlegal.com				
4	GOLDSTEIN, BORGEN, DARDARIAN & HO				
5 6	300 Lakeside Drive, Suite 1000 Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: (510) 763-9800				
7	Fax: (510) 835-1417				
8	Attorneys for the Plaintiffs and Putative Class				
9	UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT				
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11	JAVANNI MUNGUIA-BROWN, ANGELINA	Case No.: 4:16-cv-01225-JSW			
12	MAGANA, and NORMA RODRIGUEZ, individually and on behalf of others similarly	JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND THE			
13	situated,	BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON THE MOTION TO STAY THIS ACTION AND			
14 15	Plaintiffs, vs.	[PROPOSED] ORDER AS MODIFIED			
16	EQUITY RESIDENTIAL, a real estate investment trust, ERP OPERATING LIMITED				
17	PARTNERSHIP, a partnership, EQUITY RESIDENTIAL PROPERTIES MANAGEMENT				
18	CORPORATION, EQUITY RESIDENTIAL MANAGEMENT, LLC, EQR-WOODLAND				
19	PARK A LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, EQR- WOODLAND PARK B LIMITED				
20	PARTNERSHIP, and DOES FOUR through TWO HUNDRED AND FIFTY inclusive,				
21	Defendants.				
22		_			
23					
24					
25 26					
20					
28					
	IOINT STIPLI ATION TO EXTEND THE BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON T	THE MOTION TO STAY THIS ACTION AND PROPOSED OPDER			
3.4	JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND THE BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON THE MOTION TO STAY THIS ACTION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER- CASE NO.: 4:16-CV-01225-JSW Dockets.Justia				
I	1				

Plaintiffs Javanni Munguia-Brown, Angelina Magaña, and Norma Rodriguez ("Plaintiffs") and
 Defendants Equity Residential, ERP Operating Limited Partnership, Equity Residential Management
 L.L.C., EQR-Woodland Park A Limited Partnership, and ERQ-Woodland Park B Limited Partnership
 ("Defendants") by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate pursuant to Local Rule 6-2 as
 follows:
 WHEREAS, this case was originally filed on September 3, 2014 in California State Court in
 Alameda County (RG14739053) and was removed by Defendants to federal court on March 11, 2016;

8 WHEREAS, on April 14, 2016, Defendants filed a Motion to Stay This Action pending the
9 outcome of the class certification motion to be filed in June of 2016 in *Razuki v. Equity Residential*,
10 Case No. 3:15-CV-01057-BEN-JLB before the Honorable Roger T. Benitez in the Southern District of
11 California (filed on May 11, 2015), which involves the same defendants, the same putative class
12 members, and largely the same subject matter as this action;

WHEREAS, on May 19, 2016, a Joint Motion for Dismissal (attached as Exhibit A to the Ryan
Declaration) was filed by all the parties in *Razuki v. Equity Residential* (Case No. 3:15-CV-01057BEN-JLB);

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs' Opposition to the Motion to Stay is due May 27, 2016;
WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed to the following stipulations:

- Given the Joint Motion for Dismissal in *Razuki v. Equity Residential* (Case No. 3:15 CV-01057-BEN-JLB), the Parties agree to extend the briefing schedule for the
 Opposition to the Motion to Stay and the Reply in Support of the Motion to Stay as the
 Order in *Razuki* will likely moot Defendants' Motion to Stay. The June 10, 2016
 hearing on the Motion to Stay will be taken off calendar.
 - Upon the issuance of the *Razuki* court's Order granting the dismissal in *Razuki v. Equity Residential* (Case No. 3:15-CV-01057-BEN-JLB), Defendants in this case have agreed to file a Notice to withdraw their Motion to Stay.
 - 3. If the *Razuki* court does not grant the Joint Motion for Dismissal in Case No. 3:15-CV-01057-BEN-JLB, Plaintiffs will have ten days from the date of the *Razuki* Order to file

23

24

25

26

27

28

619823.4

1	their Opposition to the Motion to Stay and Defendants will have seventeen days from		
2	the date of the Razuki Order to file their Reply in Support of the Motion to Stay. The		
3	Parties will jointly request a new hearing date from the Court.		
4			
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
6	Dated: May 25, 2016	Respectfully submitted,	
7		GOLDSTEIN, BORGEN, DARDARIAN & HO	
8			
9		<u>/s/ Megan Ryan</u> Megan Ryan	
10		Laura L. Ho (SBN 173179)	
11		lho@gbdhlegal.com William Jhaveri-Weeks (SBN 289984)	
12		wjhaveriweeks@gbdhlegal.com Megan E. Ryan (SBN 264922)	
13		mryan@gbdhlegal.com GOLDSTEIN, BORGEN, DARDARIAN & HO	
14		300 Lakeside Drive, Suite 1000 Oakland, CA 94612	
15		Tel: (510) 763-9800 Fax: (510) 835-1417	
16		Jason H. Tarricone (SBN 247506)	
17		jason@clsepa.org	
18		Margaret McBride (SBN 294066) mmcbride@clsepa.org	
19		COMMUNITY LEGAL SERVICES IN EAST PALO ALTO	
20		1861 Bay Road	
21		East Palo Alto, CA 94303 Tel: (650) 326-6440	
22		Fax: (866) 688-5204	
23		Attorneys for the Plaintiffs and Putative Class	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
-	2		
3.4	JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND THE BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON THE MOTION TO STAY THIS ACTION AND [PROPOSED] ORD CASE NO.: 4:16-CV-01225-JSW		

1	Dated: May 25, 2016 DUAN	NE MORRIS LLP
2		
3		ron T. Winn T. Winn
4		T. Winn (SBN 229763)
5	atwinr	n@duanemorris.com
6		NE MORRIS LLP Street, Suite 2900
7	Son D	iego, CA 92101-4681 (619) 744-2200
8		(619) 744-2201
9	Attorn	eys for Defendants
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
619823.4	JOINT STIPULATION TO EXTEND THE BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON TH CASE NO.: 4:16-C	HE MOTION TO STAY THIS ACTION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER – v-01225- JSW

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Given the Joint Motion for Dismissal in *Razuki v. Equity Residential* (Case No. 3:15-CV-01057-BEN-JLB), the Court orders an extension of the briefing schedule for the Opposition to the Motion to Stay and the Reply in Support of the Motion to Stay as the Order in *Razuki* will likely moot Defendants' Motion to Stay. The June 10, 2016 hearing on the Motion to Stay will be taken off calendar. Defendants shall notify the Court upon the issuance of the *Razuki* Order on the Joint Motion to Dismiss.

Upon the issuance of the *Razuki* court's Order granting the dismissal in *Razuki v. Equity Residential* (Case No. 3:15-CV-01057-BEN-JLB), the Defendants will file a Notice to withdraw their Motion to Stay.

If the *Razuki* court does not grant the Joint Motion for Dismissal in Case No. 3:15-CV-01057-BEN-JLB, Plaintiffs will have ten days from the date of the *Razuki* Order to file their Opposition to the Motion to Stay and Defendants will have seventeen days from the date of the *Razuki* Order to file their Reply in Support of the Motion to Stay. The Parties will jointly request a new hearing date from the Court. The June 10, 2016 Case Management Conference is vacated and will be rescheduled by the Court, if

necessary.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: May 26, 2016

effrey S. White