

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MICHAEL VISIN,

Plaintiff,

vs.

**JEWISH COMMUNITY FEDERATION
RETIREMENT PLAN AND DAVID YEUNG,**

Defendants.

Case No. 16-cv-01338 YGR

**ORDER RE: PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST TO
CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE JURISDICTION**

Re: Dkt. No. 6

10 On March 18, 2016, Plaintiff Michael Visin, proceeding *pro se*, filed his declination to
11 proceed before a Magistrate Judge. (Dkt. No. 4.) This case was thereafter reassigned from Magistrate
12 Judge Laporte to the undersigned District Court Judge. (Dkt. No. 2.) Plaintiff then sent the Court a
13 letter requesting his case be reassigned back to Magistrate Judge Laporte (Dkt. No.6), effectively
14 seeking to withdraw his earlier declination and consent to Magistrate jurisdiction.

15 The Court recognizes Plaintiff's willingness to consent to the jurisdiction of Magistrate Judge
16 Laporte. However, the case cannot be transferred back to Magistrate Judge Laporte until Defendants
17 are served and indicate whether they will so consent. All parties in a case must consent to Magistrate
18 Judge jurisdiction; otherwise, the case must be reassigned to a District Court Judge. *See* 28 U.S.C.
19 636(c). Should Defendants consent this case will be transferred back to Magistrate Judge Laporte.
20 Once served, Defendants shall advise the Court whether they are willing to consent to the jurisdiction
21 of Magistrate Judge Laporte.

22 In the interim, and in light of Plaintiff's physical limitations, the Court will not require any
23 personal appearances in Oakland and will permit telephonic appearances as necessary.

24 Plaintiff shall serve a copy of this Order on Defendants with the summons and complaint or
25 promptly thereafter.

26 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

27 Dated: April 4, 2016

28 
YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE