2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6	EARNEST L. PRESCOTT,	Case No. 16-cv-01359-DMR
7	Plaintiff,	Case No. 10-CV-01559-DIVIK
8 9	v.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
9 10	KELLY SANTORO,	Re: Dkt. No. 1
10	Defendant.	
11	Petitioner, a state prisoner, has filed this petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to	
13	28 U.S.C. § 2254. This action has been assigned to the undersigned Magistrate Judge.	
14	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), with written consent of all parties, a magistrate judge may	
15	conduct all proceedings in a case, including entry of judgment. Appeal will be directly to the	
16	United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(3). On April 27,	
17	20016, Petitioner consented to magistrate judge jurisdiction in this matter. Dkt. 4.	
18	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	
19	It does not appear from the face of the petition that it is without merit. Good cause	
20	appearing, the court hereby issues the following orders:	
21	1. The Clerk of the Court shall serve a Magistrate Judge jurisdiction consent form, a	
22	copy of this Order, as well as the petition and all attachments thereto upon Respondent and	
23	Respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California.	
24	2. Within <b>twenty-eight (28) days</b> of the issuance of this Order, Respondent shall	
25	complete and file the Magistrate Judge jurisdiction consent form to indicate whether she consents	
26	or declines to proceed before the assigned Magistrate Judge. Respondent is free to withhold	
27	consent without adverse consequences. If Respondent consents to a Magistrate Judge's	
28	jurisdiction, this case will be handled by the undersigned Magistrate Judge. If Respondent	

United States District Court Northern District of California 1

United States District Court Northern District of California declines, the case will be reassigned to a District Judge. Whether Respondent consents or declines
to proceed before the assigned Magistrate Judge, the parties shall abide by the briefing schedule
below.

3. Respondent shall file with this court and serve upon Petitioner, within sixty (60)
days of the issuance of this Order, an Answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules
Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be issued.
Respondent shall file with the Answer a copy of all portions of the relevant state records that have
been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the
petition.

4. If Petitioner wishes to respond to the Answer, he shall do so by filing a Traverse with the court and serving it on Respondent within **twenty-eight (28) days** of his receipt of the Answer. Should Petitioner fail to do so, the petition will be deemed submitted and ready for decision **twenty-eight (28) days** after the date Petitioner is served with Respondent's Answer.

5. Respondent may file with this court and serve upon Petitioner, within sixty (60)
days of the issuance of this Order, a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an
Answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section
2254 Cases. If Respondent files a motion to dismiss, Petitioner shall file with the court and serve
on Respondent an opposition or statement of non-opposition to the motion within twenty-eight
(28) days of receipt of the motion, and Respondent shall file with the court and serve on Petitioner
a reply within fourteen (14) days of receipt of any opposition.

6. It is Petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner must keep the court and Respondent informed of any change of address and must comply with the court's orders in a timely fashion. Petitioner must also serve on Respondent's counsel all communications with the court by mailing a true copy of the document to Respondent's counsel.

25 //

- 26 //
- 27 //
- 28 //

Extensions of time are not favored, though reasonable extensions will be granted. 7. Any motion for an extension of time must be filed no later than fourteen (14) days prior to the deadline sought to be extended. **IT IS SO ORDERED.** ARG Dated: April 27, 2016 Donna M. Ryu United States Magistrate Judge