

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GREG PERIEFF, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CLIF BAR & COMPANY,

Defendant.

Case No. [16-cv-01708-JSW](#)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE *PRO HAC VICE* APPLICATION


Re: Dkt. Nos. 21, 22, 23

The Court has received Defendant Clif Bar & Company's objections and declaration in opposition to the *pro hac vice* application of Joseph N. Kravec, Jr., Esq. Because the Court ruled on Mr. Kravec's *pro hac vice* application without waiting for an opposition, the Court will consider Defendant's objections and declaration without requiring Defendant to satisfy the requirements for a motion for reconsideration.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs are HEREBY ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE why the Court should not vacate the April 21, 2016 order granting Mr. Kravec's application for admission *pro hac vice*. By Tuesday, April 26, 2016, at 12:00 p.m. PDT (noon), Plaintiffs may file a response to Defendant's objections to the *pro hac vice* application. By Wednesday, April 27, 2016, at 12:00 p.m. PDT (noon), Defendant may file a reply to Plaintiffs' response.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 22, 2016


JEFFREY S. WHITE
United States District Judge