

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NARCISO FUENTES,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
DISH NETWORK L.L.C.,  
Defendant.

Case No. [16-cv-02001-JSW](#)

**ORDER OF ADMONISHMENT**


Re: Dkt. No. 25

On May 23, 2016, Plaintiff filed his opposition to Defendant's motion to compel arbitration. In violation of Northern District Local Rule 7-3(a), Plaintiff filed a separate statement of evidentiary objections. In light of the fact that the Court granted a request for an enlargement of page limits, the Court is not pleased with Plaintiff's failure to comply with the requirement that evidentiary objections be contained within his opposition brief. However, the Court shall not strike those objections, and it will consider them.

**ALL PARTIES ARE HEREBY ADMONISHED that if they fail to comply with the provisions of Local Rules 7-3(a) and 7-3(c) in the future regarding evidentiary objections, the Court shall strike any evidentiary objections that are not contained in an opposition or reply brief.**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: May 31, 2016

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JEFFREY S. WHITE  
United States District Judge