

United States District Court
Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SANDRA L. CONNOLLY,
Plaintiff,
v.
I2A TECHNOLOGIES, INC., et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [16-cv-02131-DMR](#)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE
SERVICE OF THIRD-PARTY
COMPLAINT**

Third-Party Plaintiff Victor Batinovich filed the third-party-complaint on November 4, 2016. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m), Third-Party Plaintiff was required to serve Third-Party Defendants AIPAC, Inc., Tri Minh Hoang, and TRI HOANG GROUP dba SEAR INSTITUTE within 90 days of the filing of the third-party complaint or by February 2, 2017, otherwise the court must dismiss the action without prejudice. The record shows that Third-Party Defendants have not yet been served with the third-party complaint. Therefore, Third-Party Plaintiff is hereby ORDERED to show cause in writing by no later than **March 23, 2017**, why the third party complaint should not be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) for failure to serve the summons and third-party complaint on the Third-Party Defendants.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 23, 2017

