

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANA L VALLE,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
CAROLYN W. COLVIN,  
Defendant.

Case No. [16-cv-02358-JSW](#)

**ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO  
INFORM COURT WHETHER SHE  
CONSENTS TO MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
JURISDICTION FOR ALL PURPOSES**

In cases initially assigned to a district judge, the parties may consent at any time to reassignment of the case to a magistrate judge for all purposes, including entry of final judgment. *See* Civil L.R. 73-1(b). Defendant has filed consent. Plaintiff is hereby DIRECTED to advise the Court, within 14 calendar days after the filing of this order, as to whether Plaintiff consents to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in this action.<sup>1</sup>

For the parties' convenience, consent forms are available at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>, in the "Forms" section. The parties are further advised that they may jointly request assignment to a specific magistrate judge. The parties may find additional information in the brochure

///  
///  
///  
///  
///


---

<sup>1</sup> Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the Court in their joint case management statement filed in connection with a case management conference. Because the instant action involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case management conference has not been scheduled.

1 *Consenting to the Jurisdiction of a Magistrate Judge*, which is available at  
2 <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>, as a link to each magistrate judge's page on the Court's website.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 Dated: May 24, 2016

5  
6   
7 JEFFREY S. WHITE  
8 United States District Judge  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28