

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CHUCK CONGDON, ET AL.,
Plaintiffs,
vs.
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., ET AL.,
Defendants.

CASE NO. 16-cv-02499-YGR
ORDER RE: DKT. NO. 171

United States District Court
Northern District of California


The Court is in receipt of the parties' Joint Stipulation and [Proposed Order] Regarding Pretrial Conference Statement and Other Deadlines. (Dkt. No. 171.) Therein, the parties request that the Court continue the pretrial schedule until after it rules on plaintiffs' motion for attorneys' fees, to the extent such motion is filed.

However, the Court notes that it vacated the "November 27, 2018 trial and related dates" in its previous order. (Dkt. No. 170 at ECF p. 5.) Thus, the parties' request is **DENIED AS MOOT**. In the event any issues remain after the Court rules on any motion for attorneys' fees, the Court will set a new schedule, keeping the parties' proposed dates in mind.

This Order terminates Docket Number 171.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 15, 2018



YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE