1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	KAREN L PURDY, et al.,	Case No. <u>16-cv-02693-PJH</u>
8	Plaintiffs,	Case No. <u>10-02-02093-1 311</u>
9	V.	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
10	SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVICES, INC.,	
11	et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13		
14	The parties hereto, by their counsel, having advised the court that the	

The parties hereto, by their counsel, having advised the court that they have agreed to a settlement of this cause, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this cause of action is dismissed **without** prejudice; provided, however that if any party hereto shall certify to this court, within thirty days, with proof of service thereof on the opposing party, that the agreed consideration for said settlement has not been delivered over, the foregoing order shall stand vacated and this cause shall forthwith be restored to the calendar to be set for trial.

If no certification is filed, after passage of thirty days, the dismissal shall be with
prejudice. The parties may, of course, substitute a dismissal with prejudice at any time
during this sixty day period.

IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 30, 2016

PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge

United States District Court Northern District of California

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

26

27

28