

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7 LISA OEHRING, et al.,

8 Plaintiffs,

9 v.

10 CORDIS CORPORATION, et al.,

11 Defendants.

Case No. [16-cv-03088-JSW](#)

**ORDER REGARDING CORRECTED
DOCUMENTS AND INSTRUCTION TO
ALL PARTIES**


Re: Dkt. Nos. 19-21

12
13 On June 27, 2016, Plaintiffs filed three corrected documents: a certificate of interested
14 entities, a motion to stay, and a motion to remand. Plaintiffs did not indicate in their filings how
15 those documents have been corrected. Accordingly, Plaintiffs shall file a notice by 12:00 p.m. on
16 June 30, 2016, setting forth the corrections that were made to each of the three documents. If no
17 substantive changes were made to the motion to stay and the motion to remand, the briefing
18 schedule that was set when the motions were originally filed shall remain unchanged, and the
19 Court shall terminate the earlier filed motions. If there have been substantive changes to those
20 motions, the Court will grant Defendants a brief extension to respond to the motions. Plaintiffs
21 reply deadline shall remain unchanged, and the hearing shall remain on calendar on July 29, 2016.

22 **All parties are HEREBY ADVISED that if they correct or otherwise amend a document**
23 **filed in the case, they must indicate in their filing the exact nature of the amendment or**
24 **correction so that the Court and opposing counsel are aware of the changes.**

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: June 28, 2016

27 
28 JEFFREY S. WHITE
United States District Judge