

1
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4

5 BRANDON T. WILLIAMS,
6 Plaintiff,
7 v.
8 HECTOR PEREZ, et al.,
9 Defendants.

Case No. [4:16-cv-04143-KAW](#)

**ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT
WITH LEAVE TO AMEND; ORDER
CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT
CONFERENCE**

Re: Dkt. No. 1

10 The Court has received plaintiff's complaint and application to proceed *in forma pauperis*,
11 both filed in this Court on July 22, 2016. The Court may authorize a plaintiff to file an action in
12 federal court without prepayment of fees or security if the plaintiff submits an affidavit showing
13 that he or she is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Plaintiff's
14 IFP application was granted in a separate order.

15 The *in forma pauperis* statute also provides that the Court shall dismiss the case if at any
16 time the Court determines that the allegation of poverty is untrue, or that the action (1) is frivolous
17 or malicious, (2) fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted; or (3) seeks monetary relief
18 against a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).

19 Plaintiff's complaint, however, is deficient and must be amended for the reasons set forth
20 below:

21 1. It is impossible to discern the essential details of the events that triggered plaintiff's
22 lawsuit, or the legal theories under which she seeks relief. Plaintiff has failed to set forth "a short
23 and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief" as required by Rule
24 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

25 2. Plaintiff must clearly identify the causes of action she is alleging, which
26 defendant(s) each cause of action is against, and the facts supporting each cause of action.

27 ///

28 ///

