1 Timothy P. Murphy, State Bar No. 120920 EDRINGTON, SCHIRMER & MURPHY LLP 2 2300 Contra Costa Boulevard, Suite 450 Pleasant Hill, CA 94523-3936 3 Telephone: (925) 827-3300 Facsimile: (925) 827-3320 4 Attorneys for Defendants 5 ALAMEDA COUNTY and SHERIFF GREGORY J. AHERN 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – OAKLAND COURTHOUSE 10 STANISLAV PETROV, individually, Case No.: 4:16-cv-04323-YGR 11 12 STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER FOR Plaintiff, STANDARD LITIGATION 13 VS. \*AS MODIFIED BY THE COURT\* 14 ALAMEDA COUNTY, a public entity; SHERIFF GREGORY J. AHERN; ACSO 15 DEPUTIES PAUL WIEBER, LUIS 16 SANTAMARIA, SHAWN OSBORNE, MALIZIA, MILLER, SHELTON, GRIFFITH, 17 SERGEANT TAYLOR, and DOES 1-10, Jointly and Severally, 18 Defendants. 19 20 1. PURPOSES AND LIMITATIONS 21 Disclosure and discovery activity in this action are likely to involve production of 22 23 confidential, proprietary, or private information for which special protection from public disclosure and from use for any purpose other than prosecuting this litigation may be warranted. 24 Accordingly, the parties hereby stipulate to and petition the court to enter the following Stipulated 25 Protective Order. The parties acknowledge that this Order does not confer blanket protections on 26 all disclosures or responses to discovery and that the protection it affords from public disclosure 27 and use extends only to the limited information or items that are entitled to confidential treatment 28 Stipulated Protective Order For Standard Litigation No. 4:16-cy-04323-YGR

Doc. 49

Dockets.Justia.com

Stanislav Petrov v. Alameda County et al

Counsel does not include Outside Counsel of Record or any other outside counsel.

28

- 2.8 <u>Non-Party</u>: any natural person, partnership, corporation, association, or other legal entity not named as a Party to this action.
- 2.9 <u>Outside Counsel of Record</u>: attorneys who are not employees of a party to this action but are retained to represent or advise a party to this action and have appeared in this action on behalf of that party or are affiliated with a law firm which has appeared on behalf of that party.
- 2.10 <u>Party</u>: any party to this action, including all of its officers, directors, employees, consultants, retained experts, and Outside Counsel of Record (and their support staffs).
- 2.11 <u>Producing Party</u>: a Party or Non-Party that produces Disclosure or Discovery Material in this action.
- 2.12 <u>Professional Vendors</u>: persons or entities that provide litigation support services (e.g., photocopying, videotaping, translating, preparing exhibits or demonstrations, and organizing, storing, or retrieving data in any form or medium) and their employees and subcontractors.
- 2.13 <u>Protected Material</u>: any Disclosure or Discovery Material that is designated as "CONFIDENTIAL."
- 2.14 <u>Receiving Party</u>: a Party that receives Disclosure or Discovery Material from a Producing Party.

### 3. SCOPE

The protections conferred by this Stipulation and Order cover not only Protected Material (as defined above), but also (1) any information copied from Protected Material; (2) all copies, excerpts, summaries, or compilations of Protected Material that reveal the source of the Protected Material or that reveal specific information entitled to confidentiality as a matter of law; and (3) any testimony, conversations, or presentations by Parties or their Counsel that might reveal Protected Material. However, the protections conferred by this Stipulation and Order do not cover the following information: (a) any information that is in the public domain at the time of disclosure to a Receiving Party or becomes part of the public domain after its disclosure to a Receiving Party as a result of publication not involving a violation of this Order, including becoming part of the public record through trial or otherwise; and (b) any information known to

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_5 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ 

the Receiving Party prior to the disclosure or obtained by the Receiving Party after the disclosure from a source who obtained the information lawfully and under no obligation of confidentiality to the Designating Party. Any use of Protected Material at trial shall be governed by a separate agreement or order.

#### 4. DURATION

Even after final disposition of this litigation, the confidentiality obligations imposed by this Order shall remain in effect until a Designating Party agrees otherwise in writing or a court order otherwise directs. Final disposition shall be deemed to be the later of (1) dismissal of all claims and defenses in this action, with or without prejudice; and (2) final judgment herein after the completion and exhaustion of all appeals, rehearings, remands, trials, or reviews of this action, including the time limits for filing any motions or applications for extension of time pursuant to applicable law.

# 5. <u>DESIGNATING PROTECTED MATERIAL</u>

5.1 Exercise of Restraint and Care in Designating Material for Protection. Each Party or Non-Party that designates information or items for protection under this Order must take care to limit any such designation to specific material that qualifies under the appropriate standards. The Designating Party must designate for protection only those parts of material, documents, items, or oral or written communications that qualify – so that other portions of the material, documents, items, or communications for which protection is not warranted are not swept unjustifiably within the ambit of this Order.

Mass, indiscriminate, or routinized designations are prohibited. Designations that are shown to be clearly unjustified or that have been made for an improper purpose (e.g., to unnecessarily encumber or retard the case development process or to impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties) expose the Designating Party to sanctions.

If it comes to a Designating Party's attention that information or items that it designated for protection do not qualify for protection, that Designating Party must promptly notify all other Parties that it is withdrawing the mistaken designation.

5.2 <u>Manner and Timing of Designations</u>. Except as otherwise provided in this Order

(see, e.g., second paragraph of section 5.2(a) below), or as otherwise stipulated or ordered, Disclosure or Discovery Material that qualifies for protection under this Order must be clearly so designated before the material is disclosed or produced.

Designation in conformity with this Order requires:

- (a) for information in documentary form (e.g., paper or electronic documents, but excluding transcripts of depositions or other pretrial or trial proceedings), that the Producing Party affix the legend "CONFIDENTIAL" to each page that contains protected material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins). A Party or Non-Party that makes original documents or materials available for inspection need not designate them for protection until after the inspecting Party has indicated which material it would like copied and produced. During the inspection and before the designation, all of the material made available for inspection shall be deemed "CONFIDENTIAL." After the inspecting Party has identified the documents it wants copied and produced, the Producing Party must determine which documents, or portions thereof, qualify for protection under this Order. Then, before producing the specified documents, the Producing Party must affix the "CONFIDENTIAL" legend to each page that contains Protected Material. If only a portion or portions of the material on a page qualifies for protection, the Producing Party also must clearly identify the protected portion(s) (e.g., by making appropriate markings in the margins).
- (b) for testimony given in deposition or in other pretrial or trial proceedings, that the Designating Party identify on the record, before the close of the deposition, hearing, or other proceeding, all protected testimony.
- (c) for information produced in some form other than documentary and for any other tangible items, that the Producing Party affix in a prominent place on the exterior of the container or containers in which the information or item is stored the legend "CONFIDENTIAL." If only a portion or portions of the information or item warrant protection, the Producing Party, to the extent practicable, shall identify the protected portion(s).
  - 5.3 <u>Inadvertent Failures to Designate</u>. If timely corrected, an inadvertent failure to

designate qualified information or items does not, standing alone, waive the Designating Party's right to secure protection under this Order for such material. Upon timely correction of a designation, the Receiving Party must make reasonable efforts to assure that the material is treated in accordance with the provisions of this Order.

### 6. CHALLENGING CONFIDENTIALITY DESIGNATIONS

- 6.1 <u>Timing of Challenges</u>. Any Party or Non-Party may challenge a designation of confidentiality at any time. Unless a prompt challenge to a Designating Party's confidentiality designation is necessary to avoid foreseeable, substantial unfairness, unnecessary economic burdens, or a significant disruption or delay of the litigation, a Party does not waive its right to challenge a confidentiality designation by electing not to mount a challenge promptly after the original designation is disclosed.
- 6.2 Meet and Confer. The Challenging Party shall initiate the dispute resolution process by providing written notice of each designation it is challenging and describing the basis for each challenge. To avoid ambiguity as to whether a challenge has been made, the written notice must recite that the challenge to confidentiality is being made in accordance with this specific paragraph of the Protective Order. The parties shall attempt to resolve each challenge in good faith and must begin the process by conferring directly (in voice to voice dialogue; other forms of communication are not sufficient) within 14 days of the date of service of notice. In conferring, the Challenging Party must explain the basis for its belief that the confidentiality designation was not proper and must give the Designating Party an opportunity to review the designated material, to reconsider the circumstances, and, if no change in designation is offered, to explain the basis for the chosen designation. A Challenging Party may proceed to the next stage of the challenge process only if it has engaged in this meet and confer process first or establishes that the Designating Party is unwilling to participate in the meet and confer process in a timely manner.
- 6.3 <u>Judicial Intervention</u>. If the Parties cannot resolve a challenge without court intervention, the parties shall follow the Court's Standing Order in Civil Cases regarding Discovery and Discovery Motions. The parties may file a joint letter brief regarding retaining

confidentiality within 21 days of the initial notice of challenge or within 14 days of the parties agreeing that the meet and confer process will not resolve their dispute, whichever is earlier. Failure by a Designating Party to file such discovery dispute letter within the applicable 21 or 14 day period (set forth above) with the Court shall automatically waive the confidentiality designation for each challenged designation. If, after submitting a joint letter brief, the Court allows that a motion may be filed, any such motion must be accompanied by a competent declaration affirming that the movant has complied with the meet and confer requirements imposed in the preceding paragraph. The Court, in its discretion, may elect to transfer the discovery matter to a Magistrate Judge.

In addition, the parties may file a joint letter brief regarding a challenge to a confidentiality designation at any time if there is good cause for doing so, including a challenge to the designation of a deposition transcript or any portions thereof. If, after submitting a joint letter brief, the Court allows that a motion may be filed, any motion brought pursuant to this provision must be accompanied by a competent declaration affirming that the movant has complied with the meet and confer requirements imposed by the preceding paragraph. The Court, in its discretion, may elect to refer the discovery matter to a Magistrate Judge.

The burden of persuasion in any such challenge proceeding shall be on the Designating Party. Frivolous challenges, and those made for an improper purpose (e.g., to harass or impose unnecessary expenses and burdens on other parties) may expose the Challenging Party to sanctions. Unless the Designating Party has waived the confidentiality designation by failing to file a letter brief to retain confidentiality as described above, all parties shall continue to afford the material in question the level of protection to which it is entitled under the Producing Party's designation until the court rules on the challenge.

# 7. ACCESS TO AND USE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL

7.1 <u>Basic Principles</u>. A Receiving Party may use Protected Material that is disclosed or produced by another Party or by a Non-Party in connection with this case only for prosecuting, defending, or attempting to settle this litigation. Such Protected Material may be disclosed only to the categories of persons and under the conditions described in this Order. When the litigation has been terminated, a Receiving Party must comply with the provisions of section 13 below (FINAL

DISPOSITION).

Protected Material must be stored and maintained by a Receiving Party at a location and in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons authorized under this Order.

- 7.2 <u>Disclosure of "CONFIDENTIAL" Information or Items</u>. Unless otherwise ordered by the court or permitted in writing by the Designating Party, a Receiving Party may disclose any information or item designated "CONFIDENTIAL" only to:
- (a) the Receiving Party's Outside Counsel of Record in this action, as well as employees of said Outside Counsel of Record to whom it is reasonably necessary to disclose the information for this litigation (counsel and law firms appearing in this action are deemed to have agreed to be bound by this Protective Order);
- (b) the officers, directors, and employees (including House Counsel) of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A);
- (c) Experts (as defined in this Order) of the Receiving Party to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A);
  - (d) the court and its personnel;
- (e) court reporters and their staff, professional jury or trial consultants, mock jurors, and Professional Vendors to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary for this litigation;
- (f) during their depositions, witnesses in the action to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary and who have signed the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" (Exhibit A), unless otherwise agreed by the Designating Party or ordered by the court. Pages of transcribed deposition testimony or exhibits to depositions that reveal Protected Material must be separately bound by the court reporter and may not be disclosed to anyone except as permitted under this Stipulated Protective Order or as agreed by the Designating Party.
- (g) the author or recipient of a document containing the information or a custodian or other person who otherwise possessed or knew the information.
- 8. PROTECTED MATERIAL SUBPOENAED OR ORDERED PRODUCED IN OTHER

# **LITIGATION**

If a Party is served with a subpoena or a court order issued in other litigation that compels disclosure of any information or items designated in this action as "CONFIDENTIAL," that Party must:

- (a) promptly notify in writing the Designating Party. Such notification shall include a copy of the subpoena or court order;
- (b) promptly notify in writing the party who caused the subpoena or order to issue in the other litigation that some or all of the material covered by the subpoena or order is subject to this Protective Order. Such notification shall include a copy of this Stipulated Protective Order; and
- (c) cooperate with respect to all reasonable procedures sought to be pursued by the Designating Party whose Protected Material may be affected.

If the Designating Party timely seeks a protective order, the Party served with the subpoena or court order shall not produce any information designated in this action as "CONFIDENTIAL" before a determination by the court from which the subpoena or order issued, unless the Party has obtained the Designating Party's permission. The Designating Party shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in that court of its confidential material – and nothing in these provisions should be construed as authorizing or encouraging a Receiving Party in this action to disobey a lawful directive from another court.

# 9. <u>A NON-PARTY'S PROTECTED MATERIAL SOUGHT TO BE PRODUCED IN THIS LITIGATION</u>

- (a) The terms of this Order are applicable to information produced by a Non-Party in this action and designated as "CONFIDENTIAL." Such information produced by Non-Parties in connection with this litigation is protected by the remedies and relief provided by this Order. Nothing in these provisions should be construed as prohibiting a Non-Party from seeking additional protections.
- (b) In the event that a Party is required, by a valid discovery request, to produce a Non-Party's confidential information in its possession, and the Party is subject to an agreement

with the Non-Party not to produce the Non-Party's confidential information, then the Party shall:

- (1) promptly notify in writing the Requesting Party and the Non-Party that some or all of the information requested is subject to a confidentiality agreement with a Non-Party;
- (2) promptly provide the Non-Party with a copy of the Stipulated Protective Order in this litigation, the relevant discovery request(s), and a reasonably specific description of the information requested; and
  - (3) make the information requested available for inspection by the Non-Party.
- (c) If the Non-Party fails to object or seek a protective order from this court within 14 days of receiving the notice and accompanying information, the Receiving Party may produce the Non-Party's confidential information responsive to the discovery request. If the Non-Party timely seeks a protective order, the Receiving Party shall not produce any information in its possession or control that is subject to the confidentiality agreement with the Non-Party before a determination by the court. Absent a court order to the contrary, the Non-Party shall bear the burden and expense of seeking protection in this court of its Protected Material.

# 10. <u>UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE OF PROTECTED MATERIAL</u>

If a Receiving Party learns that, by inadvertence or otherwise, it has disclosed Protected Material to any person or in any circumstance not authorized under this Stipulated Protective Order, the Receiving Party must immediately (a) notify in writing the Designating Party of the unauthorized disclosures, (b) use its best efforts to retrieve all unauthorized copies of the Protected Material, (c) inform the person or persons to whom unauthorized disclosures were made of all the terms of this Order, and (d) request such person or persons to execute the "Acknowledgment and Agreement to Be Bound" that is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

# 11. <u>INADVERTENT PRODUCTION OF PRIVILEGED OR OTHERWISE PROTECTED</u> <u>MATERIAL</u>

When a Producing Party gives notice to Receiving Parties that certain inadvertently produced material is subject to a claim of privilege or other protection, the obligations of the Receiving Parties are those set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(5)(B). This provision is not intended to modify whatever procedure may be established in an e-discovery order

that provides for production without prior privilege review. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) and (e), insofar as the parties reach an agreement on the effect of disclosure of a communication or information covered by the attorney-client privilege or work product protection, the parties may incorporate their agreement in the stipulated protective order submitted to the court.

### 12. MISCELLANEOUS

- 12.1 Right to Further Relief. Nothing in this Order abridges the right of any person to seek its modification by the court in the future.
- 12.2 Right to Assert Other Objections. By stipulating to the entry of this Protective Order no Party waives any right it otherwise would have to object to disclosing or producing any information or item on any ground not addressed in this Stipulated Protective Order. Similarly, no Party waives any right to object on any ground to use in evidence of any of the material covered by this Protective Order.
- 12.3 Filing Protected Material. Without written permission from the Designating Party or a court order secured after appropriate notice to all interested persons, a Party may not file in the public record in this action any Protected Material. A Party that seeks to file under seal any Protected Material must comply with Civil Local Rule 79-5. Protected Material may only be filed under seal pursuant to a court order authorizing the sealing of the specific Protected Material at issue. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5, a sealing order will issue only upon a request establishing that the Protected Material at issue is privileged, protectable as a trade secret, or otherwise entitled to protection under the law. If a Receiving Party's request to file Protected Material under seal pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(d) is denied by the court, then the Receiving Party may file the information in the public record pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5(e) unless otherwise instructed by the court.

#### 13. FINAL DISPOSITION

Within 60 days after the final disposition of this action, as defined in paragraph 4, upon written notification served by Producing or Designating Party, each Receiving Party must return all Protected Material to the Producing Party or destroy such material. As used in this subdivision,

1	"all Protected Material" includes all c	copies, abstracts, compilations, summaries, and any other
2	format reproducing or capturing any of	of the Protected Material. Whether the Protected Material is
3	returned or destroyed, the Receiving	Party must submit a written certification to the Producing
4	Party (and, if not the same person or e	entity, to the Designating Party) by the 60 day deadline that
5	(1) identifies (by category, where app	propriate) all the Protected Material that was returned or
6	destroyed and (2)affirms that the Rec	eiving Party has not retained any copies, abstracts,
7	compilations, summaries or any other	format reproducing or capturing any of the Protected
8	Material. Notwithstanding this provis	ion, Counsel are entitled to retain an archival copy of all
9	pleadings, motion papers, trial, depos	ition, and hearing transcripts, legal memoranda,
10	correspondence, deposition and trial e	exhibits, expert reports, attorney work product, and
11	consultant and expert work product, e	even if such materials contain Protected Material. Any such
12	archival copies that contain or constit	ute Protected Material remain subject to this Protective Order
13	as set forth in Section 4 (DURATION	N).
14	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THI	ROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.
15	Dated: October 31, 2016	HADDAD & SHERWIN LLP
16		/s/
17		Michael J. Haddad, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff
18	Dated: October 31, 2016	EDRINGTON, SCHIRMER & MURPHY LLP
19		/s/
20		Timothy P. Murphy Attorneys for Defendants
21		ALAMEDA COUNTY and SHERIFF
22		GREGORY J. AHERN
23	Dated: October 31, 2016	CLAPP MORONEY BELLAGAMBA VUCINICH BEEMAN & SCHELEY
24		
25		Jeffrey M. Vucinich, Esq.
2 -		Attorneys for Defendant PAUL WEIBER
26		Attorneys for Beforedunt Fred Weiblik
26 27	Dated: October 31, 2016	MCNAMARA, NEY, BEATTY, SLATTERY, BORGES & AMBACHER LLP

Noah G. Blechman, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant LUIS SANTAMA  ///  Dated: October 31, 2016  LAW OFFICE OF VINCENT P. HURLEY  /s/ Vincent P. Hurley, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant SHAWN OSBOR  Dated: October 31, 2016  MAYALL HURLEY, PC  /s/ Mark E. Berry, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOHN MALIZIA and ROBERT GRIFFITI  JOHN MALIZIA and ROBERT GRIFFITI  Attorneys for Defendants JOHN MALIZIA and ROBERT GRIFFITI  John Malizia and Darrin & Ridley I  /s/ Todd H. Master, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOSHUA MILLER and DARRIN SHELTO  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, I  /s/ Bonnie A. Freeman, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant DAVID TAYLOR  PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Attorneys for Defendant LUIS SANTAMA  Attorneys for Defendant LUIS SANTAMA  ///  Dated: October 31, 2016  LAW OFFICE OF VINCENT P. HURLEY  /s/ Vincent P. Hurley, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant SHAWN OSBOR  MAYALL HURLEY, PC  /s/ Mark E. Berry, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOHN MALIZIA and ROBERT GRIFFITI  Dated: October 31, 2016  HOWARD ROME MARTIN & RIDLEY I  /s/ Todd H. Master, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOSHUA MILLER and DARRIN SHELTO  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, I  /s/ Bonnie A. Freeman, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant DAVID TAYLOR  PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: October 31, 2016  LAW OFFICE OF VINCENT P. HURLEY  Vincent P. Hurley, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant SHAWN OSBOR  MAYALL HURLEY, PC  /s/ Mark E. Berry, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOHN MALIZIA and ROBERT GRIFFITI  Dated: October 31, 2016  HOWARD ROME MARTIN & RIDLEY I  /s/ Todd H. Master, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOSHUA MILLER and DARRIN SHELTO  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, I  /s/ Bonnie A. Freeman, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant DAVID TAYLOR	RIA
Dated: October 31, 2016  LAW OFFICE OF VINCENT P. HURLEY  /s/ Vincent P. Hurley, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant SHAWN OSBOR  MAYALL HURLEY, PC  /s/ Mark E. Berry, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOHN MALIZIA and ROBERT GRIFFITH  Dated: October 31, 2016  HOWARD ROME MARTIN & RIDLEY I  /s/ Todd H. Master, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOSHUA MILLER and DARRIN SHELTO  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, I  /s/ Bonnie A. Freeman, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant DAVID TAYLOR	
Dated: October 31, 2016   LAW OFFICE OF VINCENT F. HORLEY	
Vincent P. Hurley, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant SHAWN OSBOR  Dated: October 31, 2016  MAYALL HURLEY, PC  /s/ Mark E. Berry, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOHN MALIZIA and ROBERT GRIFFITH  Dated: October 31, 2016  HOWARD ROME MARTIN & RIDLEY II  /s/ Todd H. Master, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOSHUA MILLER and DARRIN SHELTO  Dated: October 31, 2016  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, II  /s/ Bonnie A. Freeman, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant DAVID TAYLOR	
Vincent P. Hurley, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant SHAWN OSBOR  Dated: October 31, 2016  MAYALL HURLEY, PC   /s/ Mark E. Berry, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOHN MALIZIA and ROBERT GRIFFITI  Dated: October 31, 2016  HOWARD ROME MARTIN & RIDLEY I  /s/ Todd H. Master, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOSHUA MILLER and DARRIN SHELTO  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, I  /s/ Bonnie A. Freeman, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant DAVID TAYLOR  PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: October 31, 2016  MAYALL HURLEY, PC  /s/ Mark E. Berry, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOHN MALIZIA and ROBERT GRIFFITI  Dated: October 31, 2016  HOWARD ROME MARTIN & RIDLEY I  /s/ Todd H. Master, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOSHUA MILLER and DARRIN SHELTO  Dated: October 31, 2016  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, I  /s/ Bonnie A. Freeman, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant DAVID TAYLOR  PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: October 31, 2016  MAYALL HURLEY, PC  /s/ Mark E. Berry, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOHN MALIZIA and ROBERT GRIFFITH  Dated: October 31, 2016  HOWARD ROME MARTIN & RIDLEY I  /s/ Todd H. Master, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOSHUA MILLER and DARRIN SHELTO  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, I  /s/ Bonnie A. Freeman, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant DAVID TAYLOR  PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	ΝE
Attorneys for Defendants JOHN MALIZIA and ROBERT GRIFFITE  Dated: October 31, 2016  HOWARD ROME MARTIN & RIDLEY I  /s/ Todd H. Master, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOSHUA MILLER and DARRIN SHELTO  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, I  Bonnie A. Freeman, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant DAVID TAYLOR  PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Attorneys for Defendants JOHN MALIZIA and ROBERT GRIFFITE  Dated: October 31, 2016  HOWARD ROME MARTIN & RIDLEY I  /s/ Todd H. Master, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOSHUA MILLER and DARRIN SHELTO  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, I  Bonnie A. Freeman, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant DAVID TAYLOR  PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: October 31, 2016  Dated: October 31, 2016  HOWARD ROME MARTIN & RIDLEY II    14	
Dated: October 31, 2016  HOWARD ROME MARTIN & RIDLEY 1  /s/ Todd H. Master, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOSHUA MILLER and DARRIN SHELTO  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, I  SENNEFF FREEman, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant DAVID TAYLOR  PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	[
13 14 15 16 17 Dated: October 31, 2016  Dated: October 31, 2016  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, I  18 19 20 21 22 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	I D
Todd H. Master, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants JOSHUA MILLER and DARRIN SHELTO  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, I  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, I  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, I  Attorneys for Defendant DAVID TAYLOR  PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	,LP
Attorneys for Defendants JOSHUA MILLER and DARRIN SHELTO  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, I  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, I  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, I  Attorneys for Defendant Bonnie A. Freeman, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant DAVID TAYLOR  PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
JOSHUA MILLER and DARRIN SHELTO  17 Dated: October 31, 2016  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, I  18	
Dated: October 31, 2016  SENNEFF FREEMAN & BLUESTONE, I  /s/ Bonnie A. Freeman, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant DAVID TAYLOR  PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	N
Bonnie A. Freeman, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant DAVID TAYLOR  20 21 22 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	LP
Bonnie A. Freeman, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant DAVID TAYLOR  20 21 22 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DAVID TAYLOR  21  22 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20 21 22 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23 24 DATED: November 3 2016  DATED: November 3 2016	
The state of the s	
25 Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers United States District Court Judge	
26	
27	
28	

# EXHIBIT A

|--|

1

-	
3	I, [print or type full name], of
4	[print or type full address], declare under penalty of perjury that I have read in its entirety and
5	understand the Stipulated Protective Order that was issued by the United States District Court for the
6	Northern District of California on[date] in the case of <i>Petrov v. Alameda County</i> ,
7	et al., 4:16-cv-04323 YGR. I agree to comply with and to be bound by all the terms of this
8	Stipulated Protective Order and I understand and acknowledge that failure to so comply could
9	expose me to sanctions and punishment in the nature of contempt. I solemnly promise that I will not
10	disclose in any manner any information or item that is subject to this Stipulated Protective Order to
11	any person or entity except in strict compliance with the provisions of this Order.
12	I further agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the
13	Northern District of California for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Stipulated Protective
14	Order, even if such enforcement proceedings occur after termination of this action.
15	I hereby appoint [print or type full name] of
16	[print or type full address and telephone number] as
17	my California agent for service of process in connection with this action or any proceedings related
18	to enforcement of this Stipulated Protective Order.
19	
20	Date:
21	City and State where sworn and signed:
22	
23	Printed name:
24	
25	Signature:
26	
27	
28	
	14

# CERTIFICATION BY TIMOTHY P. MURPHY PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE NO.5-1, SECTION (i)(3). RE E-FILING ON BEHALF OF MULTIPLE SIGNATORIES

- I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California, and am an 1. attorney in the law firm of Edrington, Schirmer & Murphy, counsel for Defendants ALAMEDA COUNTY and SHERIFF GREGORY J. AHERN. The statements herein are made on my personal knowledge, and if called as a witness, I could and would testify thereto.
- 2. The above e-filed document contains multiple signatures. I declare that concurrence has been obtained from each of the other signatories to file this jointly prepared document with the Court. Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1, section (i)(3), I shall maintain records to support this concurrence for subsequent production for the Court if so ordered, or for inspection upon request by a party until one year after final resolution of the action (including appeal, if any).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of California that the foregoing is true and correct on October 31, 2016.

/s/ Timothy P. Murphy