

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CYNTHIA SHAVERS,
Plaintiff,
v.
MICHAEL MURPHY,
Defendant.

Case No. [16-cv-05421-DMR](#)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

United States District Court
Northern District of California

Defendant filed a Motion to Dismiss on June 30, 2017. Currently, the motion is set for a court hearing on August 10, 2017. Plaintiff Cynthia Shavers is pursuing this action without legal representation. According to the local court rules, Plaintiff should have filed any brief in opposition to Defendant’s motion by July 14, 2017. *See* Civ. L.R. 7-3(a). The court has received no such opposition.


The court **ORDERS** Plaintiff to respond by **August 3, 2017** and explain her failure to respond to the motion. In addition, Plaintiff must simultaneously (1) submit her opposition to the court or (2) file a statement of non-opposition to the motion. This order to show cause does not indicate that the court will necessarily accept Plaintiff’s late submission. If Plaintiff does not respond by August 3, 2017, Defendant’s motion may be granted or the case may be dismissed for failure to prosecute.

The court further **ORDERS** that Defendant shall file a reply, if any, to Plaintiff’s opposition no later than August 10, 2017.

The August 10, 2017 hearing on Defendant’s Motion to Dismiss is **VACATED**. The court will reschedule a hearing if appropriate.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 24, 2017


Donna M. Ryan
United States Magistrate Judge