

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PHILLIP FERGUSON, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
JUSTICE, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. [16-cv-06627-HSG](#)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

This action was initially filed in state court on April 20, 2016, and the first amended complaint was filed on October 3, 2016. Dkt. No. 1 ¶ 1 & Ex. A (“FAC”). Plaintiffs are twenty patients who received medical treatment from the Bay Area Consortium for Quality Healthcare. FAC ¶ 1. The case was removed to federal court on November 15, 2016, on the basis of federal question jurisdiction. Dkt. No. 1 ¶ 4. Two motions to dismiss the FAC were subsequently filed: one filed by the State of California by and through the California Department of Justice (collectively, “State Defendants”), Dkt. No. 4, and the other filed by six special agents employed by the California DOJ (“Agents”), Dkt. No. 7.

On July 4, 2017, the Court granted Defendants’ motions to dismiss, ruling as follows as to the particular causes of action:

The Fifth Cause of Action against the State Defendants is **DISMISSED** with prejudice. The remaining causes of action asserted against the State Defendants are **DISMISSED** without leave to amend. In addition, the Court **GRANTS** the Agents’ motion to dismiss as to the cause of action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, but does not reach the merits of the causes of action under California law. The Fifth Cause of Action asserted against the Agents is **DISMISSED** with leave to amend.

Dkt. No. 26 at 10. The Court ordered Plaintiffs to file any amended complaint within 28 days of the dismissal order. Id. at 11. That deadline has passed and Plaintiffs have not filed a second

United States District Court
Northern District of California

