1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	JAMES T MCCARTHY,	Case No. 16-cv-06820-HSG (PR)
8	Petitioner,	
9	v.	ORDER REGARDING PETITIONER'S SECOND MOTION FOR STAY AND ABEYANCE
10	SCOTT FRAUENHEIM, Warden,	Re: Dkt. No. 27
11	Respondent.	10.5401(0.2)
12		
13	Petitioner's first motion for a stay and abeyance of the instant action pursuant to Rhines v.	
14	Weber, 544 U.S. 269 (2005), was granted on September 25, 2018. Dkt. No. 26. On September	
15	27, 2018, apparently not having yet received the Court's order, petitioner filed a second motion for	
16	stay and abeyance. Dkt. Nos. 27, 28. The second motion for a stay is DENIED as unnecessary.	
17	Petitioner reports that he has now exhausted all claims in the California Supreme Court.	
18	As indicated in the September 25, 2018 order, petitioner must now file a motion to reopen the	
19	instant action, clearly identifying each claim that has been exhausted in state court. Upon such	
20	filing, the case will be reopened, and the Court will schedule further proceedings.	
21	The Clerk is directed to re-send petitioner a copy of the Court's September 25, 2018 order	
22	(Dkt. No. 26) and terminate Dkt. No. 27.	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24	Dated: 10/12/2018	
25		
26	Haywood S. Gill	
27	HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR. United States District Judge	
28		