T F S L 1 S T F A	Dakland, CA 94612 Telephone: (510) 452-5500 Facsimile: (510) 452-5510 CANJAY S. SCHMIDT (SBN 247475) LAW OFFICE OF SANJAY S. SCHMIDT 388 Sutter Street, Suite 810 San Francisco, CA 94109 Telephone: (415) 563-8583 Facsimile: (415) 223-9717 Cunited states i NORTHERN DISTRIC EREMY LAPACHET,) Plaintiff,)	
G L B T P A A S S C	vs. CALIFORNIA FORENSIC MEDICAL GROUP, INC., TAYLOR FITHIAN, M.D., ANI ANTONIO, P.A., VERONICA BERGHORST, R.N., JESSAMAE RINIDAD, R.N., GRASHIKA DEVENDRA, Sychiatric R.N., TABITHA KING, L.V.N., MARDEEP TAWANA, L.V.N., JUDITH ALEJANDRE, L.V.N. COUNTY OF TANISLAUS, a municipal corporation, tanislaus County Sheriff ADAM CHRISTIANSON, and DOES 1-50, Jointly nd Severally, Defendants.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: RESCINDING SCHEDULING ORDER FOR CASE ASSERTING DENIAL OF RIGHT OF ACCESS UNDER AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT TITLE II & III (Dkts 4 & 31)

1		STIPULATION			
2		The parties, by and through their respective attorneys of record, hereby respectfully			
3	stij	stipulate and agree as follows:			
4	1.	Whereas, on December 6, 2016, a "Scheduling Order for Cases Asserting Denial of Right			
5		of Access Under Americans With Disabilities Act Title II & III (42 U.S.C. §§ 12131-89)"			
6		(hereafter "Scheduling Order") was issued in the instant action, Dkt. 4, presumably due to			
7		the inclusion of claims under Title II and Title III of the ADA in the Fifth Cause of Action			
8		of the Complaint. Dkt. 1 at 42:9-45:9.			
9	2.	The Scheduling Order sets forth a series of successive dates. See Dkt. 4 at 1:24-2:8.			
	3.	On February 23, 2017, this Court signed Granted a Stipulation and Proposed Order			
10		previously submitted by the parties, which extended the original deadline of March 17,			
11		2017 for the parties and counsel to hold the joint inspection of premises to June 9, 2017.			
12		All of the other preceding and subsequent deadlines that were tied to the March 17, 2017			
13		deadline were correspondingly extended. Dkt. 32 at 4.			
14	4.	Although there are Title II and III claims at issue in the instant case, the crux of these			
15		claims is different than more common ADA claims, which expressly and exclusively			
16		concern the physical condition of property. The claims here concern acts and omissions, as			
17		set forth more fully in the Complaint. Dkt. 1 at 42:9-45:9. This case does not concern a			
18		controversy surrounding physical barriers or physical access.			
19	5.	Due to the fact that discovery has not commenced, the parties have concluded that it would			
20		be premature to hold an inspection at the present time, even if Initial Disclosures under			
21		Rule 26(a)(1) are made; the parties all agree that it would be premature and inefficient to			
21		hold a joint inspection at this early stage.			
	6.	Additionally, the parties agree that the issues presented in this case are such that this case is			
23		more suitable for a normal scheduling track, as opposed to the scheduling track set forth in			
24		General Order No. 56, pursuant to which the Scheduling Order (Dkt. 4) in the instant action			
25	No	was issued. . 4:16-CV-06959-HSG: STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: ADA SCHEDULING ORDER			

- 7. Consequently, the parties respectfully request that this action be taken off of the scheduling
 track prescribed by General Order No. 56 and, instead, that it be placed on the standard
 track for cases not covered by General Order No. 56. The parties' understanding of the
 effect of this stipulation, if granted, is that all deadlines preceding and subsequent to the
 deadline by which to hold a joint inspection prescribed by the Scheduling Order (Dkts. 4 &
 32), which currently is June 9, 2017, would be vacated.
 - The parties, nevertheless, still wish to exchange Initial Disclosures, and have designated June 30, 2017 as the date by which Initial Disclosures under Rule 26(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure shall be made.
 - 9. Based on the foregoing circumstances, the parties hereby jointly request that this matter be taken off the scheduling track prescribed by General Order 56, and that a standard scheduling order for cases not covered by General Order No. 56 be issued instead.
 - 10. Accordingly, the parties stipulate and respectfully request that this Court enter the Order below, rescinding the Scheduling Order (Dkt. 4), as well as the Order amending the Scheduling Order (Dkt. 32), while ordering that standard orders for cases not covered by General Order No. 56 be issued, and ordering that Initial Disclosures be made on or before June 30, 2017.

No. 4:16-CV-06959-HSG: STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: ADA SCHEDULING ORDER

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.				
2	Dated: June 2, 2017	LAW OFFICE OF SANJAY S. SCHMIDT			
3		/s/ Sanjay S. Schmidt			
4		SANJAY S. SCHMIDT Co-Counsel for Plaintiff			
5		JEREMY LAPACHET			
6	Dated: June 2, 2017	BERTLING & CLAUSEN, LLP			
7		/s/ Jemma Saunders*			
8		JEMMA SAUNDERS Attorneys for Defendants CFMG, Fithian, Antonio,			
9		Berghorst, Trinidad, Devendra, King, Tawana, Alejandre			
10	Dated: June 2, 2017	RIVERA & ASSOCIATES			
11	2 avea. valle 2, 2017	/s/ Jesse Manuel Rivera*			
12		JESSE MANUEL RIVERA			
13		Attorneys for Defendants County of Stanislaus, Sheriff Adam Christianson			
14					
15					
16	*Ms. Saunders and Mr. Rivera have given their consent to file this stipulation with their electronic signatures.				
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23 24					
24	No. 4:16-CV-06959-HSG: STIPULATION	& [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: ADA SCHEDULING ORDER			
23		4			

1	<u> PROPOSED</u> ORDER	
2	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION ABOVE, AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING	
3	THEREFOR, IT IS SO ORDERED. The Scheduling Order (Dkt. 4), as amended (Dkt. 32),	
4	shall be rescinded. Standard orders for cases not covered by General Order No. 56 will be	
5	issued forthwith. The parties shall make their Initial Disclosures under Rule 26(a)(1) of the	
6	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on or before June 30, 2017.	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
8		
9	γ	
10	Dated: <u>6/5/2017</u> Hon. Maywood S. Gilliam, Jr.	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	No. 4:16-CV-06959-HSG: STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: ADA SCHEDULING ORDER	
	5	