

1 David M. Lilienstein, Esq. SBN 218923
 2 Katie J. Spielman, Esq. SBN 252209
DL LAW GROUP
 3 345 Franklin St.
 San Francisco, CA 94102
 Telephone: (415) 678-5050
 Facsimile: (415) 358-8484
 Email: david@dllawgroup.com, katie@dllawgroup.com

5 Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
 MAHLON D. AND EMILY D.

7 Sean P. Nalty, Esq. SBN 121253
 Shivani Nanda, Esq. SBN 253891
OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.
 8 Steuart Tower, Suite 1300
 One Market Plaza
 San Francisco, CA 94105
 Telephone: (415) 442-4810
 Facsimile: (415) 442-4870
 Email: sean.nalty@ogletree.com, Shivani.nanda@ogletree.com

12 Attorneys for Defendant,
 CIGNA HEALTH AND LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 15 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

17 MAHLON D. AND EMILY D.
 18 Plaintiffs,
 19 v.
 20 CIGNA HEALTH AND LIFE
 21 INSURANCE COMPANY and DOES 1
 22 through 10,
 23 Defendants.

Case No. 4:16-cv-07230-HSG

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
 ORDER TO MODIFY THE
 SCHEDULING ORDER**

Complaint Filed: December 19, 2016
 Trial Date: None set
 Judge: Hon. Haywood S.
 Gilliam, Jr.

Referred to Magistrate Judge Robert M. Illman
 for Discovery

1 Plaintiffs Mahlon D. and Emily D. (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendant Cigna Health and Life
2 Insurance Company (“Defendant”) (collectively “the Parties”) submit this stipulation and
3 respectfully request that the Court modify the Scheduling Order and supplemental discovery
4 briefing schedule based on the following:

5 **A. Current Status**

6 **1. Cross-Motions for Judgment**

7 This matter is governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA). On
8 July 6, 2017 the Court took under submission cross-motions by the Parties to establish the
9 Standard of Review under ERISA that will be applied to this matter. On September 13, 2017 the
10 Parties stipulated to continue the briefing schedule on the merits of this matter until the Standard
11 of Review is decided by the Court. The Court granted the Parties’ stipulation on September 14,
12 2017 and entered a scheduling Order setting the date for the filing of cross-motions for judgment
13 as October 20, 2017 or three weeks after the Order on the standard of review is issued,
14 whichever is later.

15 **2. Motion to Compel Discovery**

16 Prior to the modification of the scheduling order set forth above, Plaintiffs filed a motion
17 to compel discovery on August 31, 2017. Defendant filed its opposition on September 14, 2017,
18 and Plaintiffs filed their reply on September 21, 2017. The motion to compel discovery was
19 referred to Magistrate Judge Nandor Vadas, and the Court held a hearing on the matter on
20 October 3, 2017.

21 During the October 3, 2017 hearing, the Court deferred ruling on the motion to allow the
22 parties to continue to meet and confer regarding the scope of the administrative record. The
23 parties’ current deadline to file letter briefs regarding any remaining discovery disputes
24 following the meet and confer process is 5:00 p.m. November 10, 2017. On November 6, 2017,
25 the case was reassigned to Magistrate Judge Illman for discovery matters.

26 **B. Reasons for the Requested Modification in the Briefing Schedule**

27 The Parties continue to meet and confer regarding the scope of the administrative record.
28 The Parties are working in good faith to resolve this issue but need more time to gather

1 **~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

2 Based on the stipulation of the Parties set forth above, and GOOD CAUSE appearing, the
3 Court hereby modifies the Scheduling Order. The current briefing schedule for the filing of
4 cross-motions for judgment three weeks after entry of the order on the standard of review is
5 taken off calendar. The current deadline to file further letter briefs regarding the pending Motion
6 to Compel Discovery is taken off calendar.

7 The Parties are hereby ORDERED to meet and confer to attempt to resolve any
8 outstanding discovery issues following the entry of the Order setting the standard of review in
9 this action. If necessary, the parties will file letter briefs of no more than two pages outlining
10 remaining points of contention. The Parties are further ORDERED to jointly advise this Court
11 of a proposed briefing schedule for cross-motions for judgment on the merits no later than thirty
12 days after entry of the Order regarding the standard of review.

13
14 **IT IS SO ORDERED**

15
16 Dated: 11/9/2017



17
18 Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.