1 2	WOODALL LAW OFFICES 100 PINE STREET, SUITE 1250 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111 TELEPHONE: (415) 413-4629 FACSIMILE: (866) 937-4109 KEVIN@KWOODALLLAW.COM	
3	KEVIN F. WOODALL, BAR NO. 180650	
4	BARNES LAW OFFICES 580 CALIFORNIA ST., 16 TH FLOOR	
5	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 TELEPHONE: (415) 231-6110 FACSIMILE: (888) 415-0801 PAGE@PBARNESLAW.COM	
6	PAGE R. BARNES, BAR NO. 153539	
7	ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF, STARVONA HARRIS,	
8	JONATHAN STRICKLAND AND THOSE SIMILARLY SITUATED	
9		
10		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	OAKLAND DIVISION	
14		
15	STARVONA HARRIS AND JONATHAN STRICKLAND, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON	CASE No. 4:17-CV-00446 HSG
16	BEHALF OF THOSE SIMILARLY SITUATED,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF HARRIS'S UNOPPOSED
17	PLAINTIFFS,	MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF PAGA SETTLEMENT
	V.)
18	BEST BUY STORES, L.P., A LIMITED))
19	PARTNERSHIP,	
20	DEFENDANT.))
21		
22)
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF H	ARRIS'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR APPROVAL OF
	PAGE SETTI EMENT	

Doc. 175

Dockets.Justia.com

Harris et al v. Best Buy Stores, L.P.

Case 4:17-cv-00446-HSG Document 174-5 Filed 05/14/19 Page 2 of 2

Plaintiff Harris's Unopposed Motion for Approval of PAGA Settlement was heard 1 2 by the Court. No appearances were necessary by Plaintiff Starvona Harris ("Harris") and 3 Defendant Best Buy Stores LP ("Best Buy"). After reviewing the motion and supporting papers and good cause therefor, 4 5 Plaintiff Harris's Unopposed Motion for Approval of PAGA Settlement is GRANTED. Specifically, the Court approves the PAGA settlement terms in the executed 6 Settlement Agreement, which is Exhibit B to the Woodall Declaration filed in support of 7 8 the motion. The \$5,000 PAGA payment shall be distributed by Simpluris, Inc. as 9 follows: 75% to the Labor Workforce Development Agency and 25% to the 219 potential 10 aggrieved employees identified in the Settlement Agreement, in accordance with California Labor Code § 2699 et seq. The Court also dismisses with prejudice all PAGA 11 claims that were alleged by Plaintiff Harris in the PAGA notice (Woodall Decl., Exh. A) 12 and in this action, including those brought in the First Federal Action (Case No. 3:15-cv-13 14 00657 HSG), the Second Federal Action (4:17-cv-00446 HSG) and California Superior 15 Court, County of Alameda under California Labor Code §§ 558, 510, 204, 210, 225.5, 558, 1194, 1197 and 1197.1, 226, 226.3, 1174, 1174.5, 1175, 1198, 201-203, 256, 2802, 16 218.5, 218.6 and 2699. The settlement is reasonable because: (1) the Court has already 17 determined that many PAGA claims cannot be maintained; (2) Plaintiff Harris 18 19 determined after thorough discovery that other PAGA claims have no merit; (3) Best Buy 20 raised defenses to the remaining PAGA claim for violations of California Labor Code 21 §§ 201, 203, including the lack of willfulness; and (4) this settlement represents 22 approximately 25% of the maximum PAGA penalties under the circumstances, which is a 23 much higher percentage than PAGA settlements approved by many other courts. IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 25 Dated: 26 6/10/2019 27 U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE