

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STARVONA HARRIS, ET AL.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
BEST BUY STORES, L.P.,
Defendant.

Case No. [4:17-cv-00446-HSG](#) (KAW)

**ORDER REGARDING 7/27/17 JOINT
LETTERS RE: PLAINTIFF
STRICKLAND**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 60 & 61

On July 27, 2017, the parties filed separate joint letters concerning the sufficiency of Defendant Best Buy's responses to Plaintiff Jonathan Strickland's first set of interrogatories (Dkt. No. 60) and his first set of requests for production of documents (Dkt. No. 61).

Currently, pending before the district court is a motion to dismiss all of Plaintiff's claims on res judicata grounds, given that he previously sued Best Buy in state court and lost. (Dkt. No. 61 at 5.) Furthermore, the undersigned ordered Best Buy to supplement its responses to Plaintiff Harris's special interrogatories and requests for production of documents, which should provide Plaintiffs with sufficient information at this point in the pre-class certification stage. (*See* Dkt. No. 72.)

Accordingly, in an effort to conserve resources, the Court TERMINATES the Strickland joint letters, and directs the parties to refile the dispute should the case survive the pending motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 8, 2017


KANDIS A. WESTMORE
United States Magistrate Judge