

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3  
4 MOHAMMED AZAD, et al.,

5 Plaintiffs,

6 v.

7 TOKIO MARINE HCC - MEDICAL  
8 INSURANCE SERVICES GROUP, et al.,

9 Defendants.

Case No. 17-cv-00618-PJH

**ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION TO  
EXTEND TIME FOR PLAINTIFFS TO  
FILE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Re: Dkt. No. 87

10  
11 On July 14, 2017, the court granted the various motions to dismiss in this matter,  
12 with leave to amend. Dkt. 86. The court ordered that “[p]laintiffs shall file an amended  
13 complaint by August 7, 2017. No additional claims or parties may be added without leave  
14 of court or stipulation of defendants.” Id. at 18.

15 Before the court is a stipulation to extend the deadline for plaintiffs to file an  
16 amended complaint to August 21, 2017, with the response from defendants due  
17 September 18, 2017. Dkt. 87. The court GRANTS the request to extend these  
18 deadlines.

19 However, the stipulation notes that “due to the tentative settlement of the claims of  
20 [the two named plaintiffs],” plaintiffs’ counsel intend to substitute “new Plaintiffs and  
21 proposed Class Representatives.” The court’s prior order does not permit the addition of  
22 new parties without consent of defendants or leave of court. Thus, if plaintiffs intend to  
23 add or substitute new class representatives, they must either obtain the consent of the  
24 defendants, or file a motion for leave of court by the deadline.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: August 7, 2017

27 

28  
PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON  
United States District Judge