1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	MARGARET WARD, et al.,	Case No. 17-cv-00911-PJH
8	Plaintiffs,	
9	v.	ORDER
10	THE COUNTY OF MENDOCINO, et al.,	Re: Dkt. No. 145
11	Defendants.	
12		
13		
14	On March 29, 2019, defendant Marvin Trotter, M.D. filed a proposed order (wi	

On March 29, 2019, defendant Marvin Trotter, M.D. filed a proposed order (without an accompanying motion) that would enter judgment in this action. Dkt. 145. The court declines to enter that order.

If a party wishes to have judgment entered in this action with respect to fewer than 17 18 all claims or all parties, the party's motion for such judgment must satisfy the stricter 19 requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b). Rule 54(b) requires the court to 20 "expressly determine[] that there is no just reason for delay" prior to entering final 21 judgment "as to one or more, but fewer than all, claims or parties[.]" Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b). 22

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** Dated: April 1, 2019 24 25 26 27

PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge

Northern District of California United States District Court

15

16

28