

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MELISSA L VIGIL,
Plaintiff,
v.
COLGATE-PALMOLIVE CO,
Defendant.

Case No. [16-cv-02697-MMC](#)

RELATED CASE ORDER

A Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related has been filed, requesting a determination as to whether the following cases are related within the meaning of Civil L.R. 3-12(a):

C 16-02697 MMC Melissa L. Vigil v. Colgate-Palmolive Co.

C 17-00929 JSW Melissa L. Vigil v. Colgate-Palmolive Co.


The time for filing a statement to support or oppose the motion has passed. On the basis of the material submitted to the Court, as the Judge assigned to the earliest filed case, I find that the cases:

ARE NOT RELATED as defined by Civil L.R. 3-12(a), the earlier action having been voluntarily dismissed prior to the Court's consideration of any substantive matters.

ARE RELATED as defined by Civil L.R. 3-12(a).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 8, 2017


MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge