UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASE No C 17-cv-01302-HSG

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]

ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS

Douglas Amundsen

Plaintiff(s)

v.

Comcast Corporation, et al.

Defendant(s)

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5. The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

- **Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)** (ADR L.R. 5)
- **Mediation** (ADR L.R. 6)
- **Private ADR** (specify process and provider)

Note: Magistrate judges do not conduct mediations under ADR L.R. 6. To request an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge, you <u>must</u> file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. Do not use this form. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5.

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

□ the presumptive deadline (90 days from the date of the order referring the case to ADR, unless otherwise ordered.)

other requested deadline: 10/6/17

Date: 5/23/17

Date: 5/23/17

David C. King

Attorney for Plaintiff Brian H. Chun Attorney for Defendant

IT IS SO ORDEREDIT IS SO ORDERED WITH MODIFICATIONS:

Date: 6/27/2017

Important! E-file this form in ECF using the appropriate event among these choices: "Stipulation & Proposed Order Selecting Mediation" or "Stipulation & Proposed Order Selecting ENE" or "Stipulation & Proposed Order Selecting Private ADR."

Form ADR-Stip rev. 1-2017

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of this document was served electronically on May 23, 2017, on counsel of record in compliance with Federal Rule 5, Local Rule 5.6 and General Order 45, by use of the Court's ECF system.

/s/ Denise Taylor