1			
2			
3			
4			
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
7			
8	FREDERICK STEWART, Petitioner,	Case No. <u>17-cv-01406-DMR</u> (PR)	
9	v.	ORDER DIRECTING RESPONDENT	
10	WILLIAM MUNIZ, Warden, <sup>1</sup>	TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THE PETITION SHOULD NOT BE	
11	Respondent.	GRANTED	
12			
13	Petitioner, a state prisoner, has filed this petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28		
14	U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has paid the full filing fee. This action has been assigned to the		
15	undersigned Magistrate Judge.		
16	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), with written consent of all parties, a magistrate judge may		
17	conduct all proceedings in a case, including entry of judgment. Appeal will be directly to the		
18	United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(3).		
19	On March 15, 2017, Petitioner consented to magistrate judge jurisdiction in this matter.		
20	Dkt. 4.		
21	It does not appear from the face of the petition that it is without merit. Good cause		
22	appearing, the court hereby issues the following orders:		
23	1. The Clerk of the Court shall serve a Magistrate Judge jurisdiction consent form, a		
24	copy of this Order, as well as the petition and all attachments thereto upon Respondent and		
25	Respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The Clerk shall also serve		
26	a copy of this Order on Petitioner at Petitioner's current address.		
27			
28	<sup>1</sup> William Muniz, the current warden of the prison where Petitioner is incarcerated, has been substituted as Respondent pursuant to Rule 25(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.		

United States District Court Northern District of California

2. Within **twenty-eight (28) days** of the issuance of this Order, Respondent shall complete and file the Magistrate Judge jurisdiction consent form to indicate whether Respondent consents or declines to proceed before the assigned Magistrate Judge. Respondent is free to withhold consent without adverse consequences. If Respondent consents to a Magistrate Judge's jurisdiction, this case will be handled by the undersigned Magistrate Judge. If Respondent declines, the case will be reassigned to a District Judge. Whether Respondent consents or declines to proceed before the assigned Magistrate Judge. Whether Respondent consents or declines to proceed before the assigned Magistrate Judge. Whether Respondent consents or declines to proceed before the assigned Magistrate Judge, the parties shall abide by the briefing schedule below.

3. Respondent shall file with this court and serve upon Petitioner, within sixty (60)
days of the issuance of this Order, an Answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules
Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be issued.
Respondent shall file with the Answer a copy of all portions of the relevant state records that have
been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the
petition.

4. If Petitioner wishes to respond to the Answer, Petitioner shall do so by filing a Traverse with the court and serving it on Respondent within twenty-eight (28) days of Petitioner's receipt of the Answer. Should Petitioner fail to do so, the petition will be deemed submitted and ready for decision twenty-eight (28) days after the date Petitioner is served with Respondent's Answer.

5. Respondent may file with this court and serve upon Petitioner, within sixty (60)
days of the issuance of this Order, a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an
Answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section
2254 Cases. If Respondent files a motion to dismiss, Petitioner shall file with the court and serve
on Respondent an opposition or statement of non-opposition to the motion within twenty-eight
(28) days of receipt of the motion, and Respondent shall file with the court and serve on Petitioner
a reply within fourteen (14) days of receipt of any opposition.

27 6. It is Petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner must keep the
28 court and Respondent informed of any change of address and must comply with the court's orders

1	in a timely fashion. Pursuant to Northern District Local Rule 3-11 a party proceeding pro se		
2	whose address changes while an action is pending must promptly file a notice of change of		
3	address specifying the new address. See L.R. 3-11(a). The court may dismiss a pro se action		
4	without prejudice when: (1) mail directed to the pro se party by the court has been returned to the		
5	court as not deliverable, and (2) the court fails to receive within sixty days of this return a written		
6	communication from the pro se party indicating a current address. See L.R. 3-11(b); see also		
7	Martinez v. Johnson, 104 F.3d 769, 772 (5th Cir. 1997) (Rule 41(b) applicable in habeas cases).		
8	Petitioner must also serve on Respondent's counsel all communications with the court by mailing		
9	a true copy of the document to Respondent's counsel.		
10	7. Upon a showing of good cause, requests for a reasonable extension of time will be		
11	granted provided they are filed on or before the deadline they seek to extend.		
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13	Dated: August 17, 2017		
14	DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	3		

UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
FREDERICK STEWART,	Corr No. 4:17 01406 DMD	
Plaintiff,	Case No. <u>4:17-cv-01406-DMR</u>	
V.	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
WARDEN-SALINAS VALLEY STATE PRISON,		
Defendant.		
I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S.		
District Court, Northern District of Camornia.		
That on August 17, 2017, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by		
placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by		
depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery		
receptacie located in the Clerk's office.		
Frederick Stewart ID: AUO571		
Soledad, CA 93960		
Deted: August 17, 2017		
Dated. August 17, 2017		
	Susan Y. Soong	
	Clerk, United States District Court	
	(1)	
	tonterin	
	By:	
	Ivy Lerma Gaten, Deputy Clerk to the Honorable DONNA M. RYU	
	NORTHERN DISTR FREDERICK STEWART, Plaintiff, v. WARDEN-SALINAS VALLEY STATE PRISON, Defendant. I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I a District Court, Northern District of California. That on August 17, 2017, I SERVED a t placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelop depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by receptacle located in the Clerk's office. Frederick Stewart ID: AUO571 P.O. Box 1020	