1 2 3 4	Rosemary M. Rivas (SBN 209147) Email: rrivas@zlk.com <b>LEVI &amp; KORSINSKY, LLP</b> 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 650 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 291-2420 Facsimile: (415) 484-1294		
4 5	Counsel for Plaintiff		
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	OAKLAND	DIVISION	
10	PAUL PARSHALL, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	Case No. 4:17-cv-01538-HSG	
11	•	CLASS ACTION	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER VOLUNTARILY DISMISSING	
13		ACTION AS MOOT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) AND	
14 15	NIMBLE STORAGE, INC., SURESH VASUDEVAN, VARUN MEHTA, FRANK	AGREEMENT BY PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL TO SEEK AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES AND	
16	CALDERONI, JAMES J. GOETZ, WILLIAM JENKINS JR., JERRY M. KENNELLY,	REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES IN RELATED ACTION	
17	WILLIAM J. SCHROEDER, BOB KELLY, HEWLETT PACKARD ENTERPRISE	Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.	
	COMPANY, AND NEBRASKA MERGER SUB, INC.,	Crtrm.: 2, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
19	Defendants.		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	STIP AND <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER VOLUNTARILY DISMISSING ACTION AS MOOT Case No. 4:17-cv-01538-HSG Dockets		

WHEREAS, on March 22, 2017, Plaintiff Paul Parshall filed the above-captioned action
 (the "Parshall Action");

WHEREAS, shortly thereafter, Plaintiff Dennis Huston and Plaintiff David Ettel filed
substantially similar actions to the Parshall Action, styled *Dennis Huston v. Nimble Storage, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 3:17-cv-01533-JSW (the "Huston Action") and *Ettel v. Nimble Storage, Inc. et al*, Case No. 5:17-cv-01599 (the "Ettel Action") (and collectively with the Ettel Action, the
"Actions");

8 WHEREAS, the Actions challenged the public disclosures made in connection with the
9 proposed acquisition of Nimble Storage, Inc. ("Nimble Storage"), by Hewlett Packard Enterprise
10 Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Nebraska Merger Sub, Inc., pursuant to a definitive
11 agreement and plan of merger filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission
12 ("SEC") on or around March 7, 2017 (the "Transaction");

WHEREAS, the Actions asserted claims for violations of sections 14(d), 14(e), and 20(a)
of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by Defendants alleged to have been made in Nimble
Storage's Solicitation/Recommendation Statement (the "Solicitation Statement") filed with the
SEC on or around March 17, 2017;

WHEREAS, Defendants deny that Plaintiffs have asserted any meritorious claim, deny
that the Solicitation Statement contained any misstatement or omission, and assert that no further
information is required to be provided;

WHEREAS, on April 5, 2017, Nimble Storage filed an amendment to the Solicitation
Statement that included certain additional information relating to the Transaction that addressed
and mooted claims regarding the sufficiency of the disclosures in the Solicitation Statement as
alleged in the Actions (the "Supplemental Disclosures");

WHEREAS, Plaintiff Parshall's counsel believes they may assert a claim for a fee in
connection with the prosecution of the Parshall Action and the issuance of the Supplemental
Disclosures, and have informed Defendants of their intention to petition the Court for such a fee

28

- 1 -

1 if their claim cannot be resolved through negotiations between counsel for Plaintiffs in the
2 Actions and Defendants (the "Fee Application");

WHEREAS, for the sake of judicial economy and the convenience of all parties, Plaintiff
Parshall's counsel has coordinated with Plaintiff Huston's counsel and Plaintiff's Ettel's counsel,
and Plaintiffs' counsel in all three actions intend to file any Fee Application jointly in the Huston
Action;

WHEREAS, all of the Defendants in the Action reserve all rights, arguments and
defenses, including the right to oppose any potential Fee Application and the right to dispute
which Court should address any Fee Application;

10

WHEREAS, no class has been certified in the Actions;

WHEREAS, for the avoidance of doubt, no compensation in any form has passed directly
or indirectly to Plaintiff Parshall or his attorneys and no promise, understanding, or agreement to
give any such compensation has been made, nor have the parties had any discussions concerning
the amount of any mootness fee application or award;

15 NOW, THEREFORE, upon consent of the parties and subject to the approval of the16 Court:

17

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

18 1. The Parshall Action is dismissed, and all claims asserted therein are dismissed
19 with prejudice as to Plaintiff only. All claims on behalf of the putative class are dismissed
20 without prejudice.

21 2. Because the dismissal is with prejudice as to Plaintiff only, and not on behalf of a
22 putative class, notice of this dismissal is not required.

3. If a Fee Application becomes necessary, Plaintiff Parshall's counsel may seek a
fee by joining in the Fee Application to be filed in the Huston Action where the Court will retain
jurisdiction, as appropriate, for the Fee Application.

4. This Stipulation, and any Order thereon, are made without prejudice to any right,
position, claim or defense any party may assert with respect to the Fee Application, which

28

1	includes the Defendants' right to oppose the Fee Application and the right to dispute which		
2	Court should address any Fee Application.		
3	Dated: April 26, 2017	Respectfully submitted,	
4		LEVI & KORSINSKY LLP	
5		/s/Rosemary M. Rivas	
6		Rosemary M. Rivas 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 650	
7		San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 291-2420	
8		Attorneys for Plaintiff	
9		FENWICK & WEST LLP	
10	By:	/s/Kevin P. Muck	
11		Kevin P. Muck	
		Felix S. Lee 555 California Street, 12 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
12		San Francisco, CA 94104	
13		Telephone: (415) 875-2300	
14		Attorneys for Defendant Nimble Storage Inc. and the Individual Defendants	
15		WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ	
16		/s/Peter C. Hein	
17		Peter C. Hein 51 West 52nd Street	
10		New York, New York 10019	
18		Telephone: (212) 403-1237	
19		Attorneys for Hewlett Packard Enterprise	
20		Company, and Nebraska Merger Sub, Inc.	
21	FILER'S ATTESTATION		
22	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1 regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of perjury		
23	that the concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from all signatories.		
24	/s/ Rosemary M. Rivas		
25	R	Rosemary M. Rivas	
26			
27			
28			
	- 3		
	STIP AND [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER VOLUNTARILY DISMISSING ACTION AS MOOT Case No. 4:17-cv-01538-HSG		

## PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 27, 2017

l

)

)

L

)

)

L

he Honorable Haywood S. Gilliahd Jr. United States District Judge