1 2 3 4 5 6	Karen L. Handorf (admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) Michelle C. Yau (admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) Julia Horwitz (admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL PL 1100 New York Ave. NW • Fifth Floor Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: (202) 408-4600 Fax: (202) 408-4699	Todd Jackson (Cal. Bar No. 202598) Nina Wasow (Cal. Bar No. 242047) FEINBERG, JACKSON, WORTHMAN & LC WASOW, LLP 383 4th Street • Suite 201 Oakland, CA 94607 Telephone: (510) 269-7998 Fax: (510) 269-7994	
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11	Charles Baird,	Case No: 17-cv-1892-HSG	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO MODIFY TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND	
13	V.	BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR RELATED RULE 12 MOTION	
14	BlackRock Institutional Trust Company, N.A., et al.,	KOLE 12 MOTION	
15	Defendants.		
16	Derendants.		
17			
18	Pursuant to Northern District of Cali	fornia Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiff Charles Baird and	
19	Defendants BlackRock, Inc.; BlackRock Institutional Trust Company, N.A., The BlackRock, Inc.		
20	Retirement Committee; Jason Herman; T	he Administrative Committee of the Retirement	
21	Committee; and The Investment Committee	e of the Retirement Committee (collectively, the	
22	"Defendants") by and through their respective counsel, stipulate and agree to the following:		
23	1. Plaintiff sent the request for wai	ver of service of on April 11, 2017. As a result,	
24	Defendants' responsive pleading	g would ordinarily be due 60 days later, on June 12,	
25	2017.		
26	2. The Parties conferred and agree	d to a briefing schedule in the event that Defendants	
27	file a Rule 12 motion in respons	e to the complaint due to potential scheduling	
28	conflicts likely to arise for Plaintiff's Counsel at the time such motion would likely be		
	Case No: 17-cv-1892-HSG: STIPULATION AND [PRCCOMPLAINT AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR RED	č	
		Dockets.Justia	

1	filed. Yau Decl. ¶¶ 3-5. The	e Parties have stipulated and agreed to the following
2	schedule:	
3	June 1, 2017	Defendants' responsive pleading due
4	July 14, 2017	If Defendants file a Rule 12 motion, Plaintiff's opposition to such motion due
5	July 28, 2017	Defendants' reply due
6 7	3. No previous requests for time modification have been made in this case.	
	4. A declaration from Michelle	e Yau, setting forth the reasons for the Parties' request, is
8	attached hereto as Exhibit A.	
9 10		
10	Dated: April 24, 2017	
11		FEINBERG, JACKSON, WORTHMAN & WASOW, LLP
12	By:	
13		/s/ Nina Wasow
14		Nina Wasow (Cal. Bar No. 242047) Todd Jackson (Cal. Bar No. 202598)
		383 4th Street Suite 201
16 17		Oakland, CA 94607 Tel: (510) 269-7998
17		Fax: (510) 269-7994 nina@feinbergjackson.com
18		todd@feinbergjackson.com
19 20		COHEN MILSTEIN SELLERS & TOLL, PLLC
20		Karen L. Handorf (admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) Michelle C. Yau (admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )
21		Julia Horwitz (admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) 1100 New York Avenue, N.W.
22		Suite 500, West Tower
23		Washington, D.C. 20005 Tel: (202) 408-4600
24		Fax: (202) 408-4699 khandorf@cohenmilstein.com
25		myau@cohenmilstein.com jhorwitz@cohenmilstein.com
26		
27		Attorneys for Plaintiff
28		
	Case No: 17-cv-1892-HSG: STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO MODIFY TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR RELATED RULE 12 MOTION Page 2 of 3	

1	<u>/s/ Meaghan VerGow</u>	
2	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	
3	Meaghan VerGow (admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) Brian Boyle (Cal. Bar No. 126576)	
4	1625 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006	
5	Tel: (202) 383-5504 Fax: (202) 383-5414	
6	mvergow@omm.com bboyle@omm.com	
7	Randall W. Edwards (Cal. Bar No. 179053) Adam M. Kaplan (Cal. Bar No. 298077)	
8	Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3823	
9	Tel: (415) 984-8700 Fax: (415) 984-8701	
10	redwards@omm.com akaplan@omm.com	
11	akapian@omm.com	
12	Attorneys for Defendants	
13		
14	ATTESTATION	
15	I attest that for all conformed signatures indicated by an "/s/," the signatory has concurred in	
16	the filing of this document.	
17	Dated: April 24, 2017 By: /s/Nina Wasow	
18	Nina Wasow	
19		
20	ORDER	
21	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:	
22	Defendants shall respond to the complaint by June 1, 2017. Plaintiff shall file any opposition	
23	to a Rule 12 motion by July 14, 2017. Defendants shall file any reply by July 28, 2017.	
24		
25	Determined and $1272017$	
26	Dated: April 27, 2017 Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.	
27	U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California	
28		
	Case No: 17-cv-1892-HSG: STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO MODIFY TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR RELATED RULE 12 MOTION Page 3 of 3	