28

1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
6		
7	ROBERT MCCARTHY,	Case No. 17-cv-01932-KAW
8	Plaintiff,	
9	v.	SECOND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
10	YVONNE M HEMBERGER, et al.,	Re: Dkt. No. 6
11	Defendants.	
12		
13	On August 2, 2017, the Court issued an order to show cause, requiring Plaintiff McCarthy	
14	to explain why the case should not be dismissed for failure to comply with the deadline to	
15	complete service on Defendant. (Dkt. No. 6.) Plaintiff's response was due on August 15, 2017;	
16	as of the date of this order, Plaintiff has not filed a response.	
17	Accordingly, the Court ORDERS Plaintiff to show cause why this matter should not be	
18	dismissed for failure to comply with the Court's order and for failure to comply with the deadline	
19	to complete service. Failure to file a response by September 1, 2017 may result in the	
20	recommendation that the instant case be dismissed for failure to prosecute.	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	Dated: August 24, 2017	Y in little
23		KANDIS A. WESTMORE
24		United States Magistrate Judge
25		
26		DI 1 (1001 1 111 1 2 2017 1 1
27 28	¹ The order to show cause erroneously stated that Plaintiff had until July 6, 2017 to complete service, as the deadline to complete service was in fact June 6, 2017. (Dkt. No. 4; see also Gen. Ord. No. 56 ¶ 1.) Regardless, Plaintiff has not filed a proof of service that the complaint and summons were served in compliance with either deadline.	