

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CARL RENOWITZKY,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
ZIEVE, BRODNAX & STEEL, LLP, et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. [17-cv-02309-DMR](#)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CASE  
SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR  
FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND  
VACATING SEPTEMBER 6, 2017 CASE  
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE**

Re: Dkt. No. 8

On April 25, 2017, Pro se Plaintiff Carl Renowitzky filed suit in this Court. [Docket No. 1.] Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m), Mr. Renowitzky was required to serve the summons and complaint on the defendants within 90 days of the filing of the complaint. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). It does not appear that Mr. Renowitzky has served the defendants with the summons and complaint as no proofs of service have been filed.

On August 1, 2017, the court ordered Mr. Renowitzky to file a Joint Case Management Conference Statement by August 30, 2017. [Docket No. 8]. No Joint Case Management Conference Statement has been filed.

Accordingly, the court ORDERS Mr. Renowitzky to **respond in writing by September 6, 2017** and explain why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Failure to respond by September 6, 2017 may result in dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute.

The court VACATES the September 6, 2017 Case Management Conference.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: September 1, 2017

  
Judge States Magistrate Judge