

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PLEXXIKON INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS
CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Case No. [17-cv-04405-HSG](#)**ORDER APPOINTING SPECIAL
MASTER**

Re: Dkt. No. 264

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53, and by the parties' agreement, the Court finds that the appointment of Judge Elizabeth D. Laporte (Ret.) as a special master is warranted in this case to address Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 4. See Dkt. No. 264. In light of Judge Laporte's detailed knowledge of this case as the former discovery magistrate judge, the Court finds that considerations of fairness and judicial economy constitute "exceptional circumstances" that require her appointment. The Court further finds that use of a special master here will materially advance the litigation, and thereby achieve considerable cost-saving to all parties. Accordingly, by December 20, 2019, the Court requests Judge Laporte to file a declaration under 28 U.S.C. § 455 stating that there is no ground for disqualification. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 53(b)(3). Judge Laporte's appointment as special master shall be effective upon the filing of this declaration. Following the appointment, the parties are directed to contact Judge Laporte's case manager Sandra Chan at JAMS to coordinate the date, time, and place of any appearance.

Notice. The Court gave all parties notice of its intent to appoint Judge Laporte as special master and an opportunity to be heard with respect to such appointment during the December 3, 2019, case management conference, see Dkt. No. 347. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 53(b)(1).

Scope of Duties. Judge Laporte shall review all briefing and supporting documentation

1 related to Plaintiff's Motion in Limine No. 4; determine if supplemental briefing is necessary; hear
2 argument; and prepare and file a report and recommendation on the factual findings and
3 disposition of the motion in limine, with all reasonable diligence. The parties shall provide Judge
4 Laporte with copies of all motion papers and other documents relevant to Plaintiff's Motion in
5 Limine No. 4.

6 Scope of Authority. Judge Laporte shall have all the powers set forth in Rule 53(c)(1).

7 Preservation of Record. Pursuant to Rule 53(b)(2)(c), Judge Laporte shall maintain files
8 consisting of all documents submitted by the parties and any written orders, findings, and/or
9 recommendations. Judge Laporte shall serve the report and recommendation on the parties by
10 filing it on the docket.

11 Communications. To facilitate the fulfillment of her duties, Judge Laporte may
12 communicate ex parte to the Court to the extent that she deems it necessary and appropriate. In
13 addition, Judge Laporte may have ex parte communications with a party, but such
14 communications shall be limited to administrative matters such as scheduling hearings, telephone
15 calls or briefing, if such arrangements cannot be made in a timely manner by contacting Judge
16 Laporte's case manager.

17 Review of Report and Recommendation. The parties must file any objection to the report
18 and recommendation within ten (10) days of its entry on the docket. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 53(f)(2).
19 If any party objects to the report and recommendation, it shall be the objecting party's
20 responsibility to file the objection and the record materials necessary to review the report and
21 recommendation, including any transcripts of proceedings and any supplemental documents
22 submitted by the parties. Failure to provide the record shall constitute grounds for the Court to
23 overrule the objection. The Court shall review findings of fact for clear error, and shall review de
24 novo any conclusions of law.

25 Fees. The parties will split the fees for Judge Laporte upfront. However, following receipt
26 of the report and the Court's order on the motion in limine, the Court will allocate the fees in a fair
27 and reasonable manner, considering the reasonableness of the parties' respective positions (i.e., for
28 a legitimate dispute, fees will be assessed 50–50, while a party raising an unreasonable position

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

will bear 100% of the fees associated with the dispute).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/5/2019


HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.
United States District Judge