

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Lowe | LeFan  
Kris S. LeFan SBN 278611  
kris@lowelaw.com  
8383 Wilshire Suite #1038  
Beverly Hills, CA 90211  
Telephone: (310) 477-5811  
Facsimile: (310) 477-7672  
  
NI, WANG & MASSAND, PLLC  
Hao Ni (pro hac vice)  
hni@nilawfirm.com  
8140 Walnut Hill Lane, Suite 500  
Dallas, TX 75231  
Telephone: (972) 331-4600  
Facsimile: (972) 314-0900  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
HYPERMEDIA NAVIGATION LLC

COOLEY LLP  
HEIDI L. KEEFE (178960)  
(hkeefe@cooley.com)  
MARK R. WEINSTEIN (193043)  
(mweinstein@cooley.com)  
LOWELL D. MEAD (223989)  
(lmead@cooley.com)  
DANIEL J. KNAUSS (267414)  
(dknauss@cooley.com)  
AZADEH MORRISON (311046)  
(amorrison@cooley.com)  
3175 Hanover Street  
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130  
Telephone: (650) 843-5000  
Facsimile: (650) 849-7400  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FACEBOOK, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND DIVISION

HYPERMEDIA NAVIGATION LLC,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
FACEBOOK, INC.,  
  
Defendant.

Case No. 4:17-cv-05383-HSG  
  
**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER  
RE: DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY  
STORED INFORMATION FOR PATENT  
LITIGATION**

1           Upon the stipulation of the parties, the Court ORDERS as follows:

2           1.       This Order supplements all other discovery rules and orders. It streamlines  
3 Electronically Stored Information (“ESI”) production to promote a “just, speedy, and inexpensive  
4 determination of this action, as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 1.”

5           2.       This Order may be modified in the Court’s discretion or by stipulation. If a party  
6 wishes to modify this agreement but the parties do not agree to the proposed modifications, the  
7 parties shall jointly submit their competing proposals and a summary of the dispute.

8           3.       As in all cases, costs may be shifted for disproportionate ESI production requests  
9 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26. Likewise, a party’s nonresponsive or dilatory  
10 discovery tactics are cost-shifting considerations.

11          4.       A party’s meaningful compliance with this Order and efforts to promote efficiency  
12 and reduce costs will be considered in cost-shifting determinations, but nothing in this order shall  
13 affect a producing party’s right to seek reimbursement for costs associated with collection, review,  
14 and/or production of ESI.

15          5.       Absent a showing of good cause, general ESI production requests under Federal  
16 Rules of Civil Procedure 34 and 45, or compliance with a mandatory disclosure requirement of this  
17 Court, shall not include metadata.

18          6.       General ESI production requests under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 34 and 45  
19 shall not include email or other forms of electronic correspondence (collectively “email”). The  
20 parties have agreed that emails will not be searched or produced. (Dkt. No. 30).

21          7.       The parties shall not be required to suspend automated deletion that is associated with  
22 electronic databases, server log files, or backup or disaster recovery systems. With respect to web  
23 pages, the parties need only make good faith efforts to preserve the source code responsible for  
24 responsible for the dynamic generation of such pages, not the actual content(s) of such pages.

25          8.       Absent agreement of the parties or further order of this court, the following  
26 parameters shall apply to ESI production:

27               a.       General Document Image Format. ESI shall be produced electronically,  
28

1 either in native format or as single page, uniquely and sequentially numbered TIFF image files no  
2 less than 300 dpi resolution to enable the generation of searchable text using Optical Character  
3 Recognition (“OCR”). Where text may be extracted when the TIFF image file is generated, the  
4 image file shall be accompanied by a text file containing the extracted text. The text files shall be  
5 named to match the endorsed number assigned to the image of the first page of the document. The  
6 Producing Party shall apply an OCR process to produced image and text files to generate text  
7 searchable files. The images and text files shall also be accompanied by image cross-reference load  
8 files in the formats reasonably requested by each party providing the beginning and ending endorsed  
9 number of each document and the number of pages it comprises. The Producing Party shall also  
10 provide a data load file (“Data Load File”) corresponding to the TIFF image files and the full text  
11 files. Data Load Files will be provided in Relativity style (.opt and .dat) format or in the format  
12 requested by each Party. Unless specifically required, documents produced prior to the entry of this  
13 order do not need to be reproduced to meet the requirements in this section.

14           b.       Production Numbers. Each document image shall contain a footer with a  
15 sequentially ascending production number, provided that, if a footer would obstruct any information  
16 on the document image, the sequentially ascending production number may be affixed to an  
17 alternative location on the document image.

18           c.       Production Media. Subject to the terms of the Protective Order in this case,  
19 documents shall be produced by electronic file sharing technologies such as FTP or on external hard  
20 drives, readily accessible computer(s) or electronic media such as CDs, DVDs USB drives  
21 (“Production Media”); and production by email is acceptable provided that the receiving party’s  
22 designated email address for accepting service of the production is used, and the producing party  
23 has not received any error or return message indicating that the service email was not received or  
24 sent successfully. Each piece of production media should identify: (1) the producing party’s name;  
25 (2) the production date; and (3) the Bates Number range of the materials contained on the Production  
26 Media.

27           d.       Unitizing Of Documents. Distinct documents should not be merged into a  
28 single record, and single documents should not be split into multiple records (i.e., paper documents

1 should be logically unitized). The parties will use reasonable efforts to unitize documents correctly.

2 e. Native Files. A party is not required to produce the same ESI in more than  
3 one format. After initial production of electronic documents in electronic file format has occurred,  
4 a party may request that specific documents or file types be produced in native format by specifically  
5 identifying to the producing party the Bates number of the document sought and the basis for the  
6 request for production in native format. The parties should then meet and confer in good faith to  
7 determine whether production in an alternative format is necessary. A party shall not make unduly  
8 burdensome and unreasonable requests for production of documents in native format, and a party  
9 shall not unreasonably refuse a request for the production of documents in native format.

10 f. No Backup Restoration Required. Absent a showing of good cause, no party  
11 need restore any form of media upon which backup data is maintained in a party's normal or allowed  
12 processes, including but not limited to automated disaster recovery backup systems, backup tapes,  
13 disks, SAN, RAM or temporary files, history, cache, cookies, server, system, or network logs, and  
14 other forms of media, to comply with its discovery obligations in the present case.

15 g. Duplicate Documents. If copies of a responsive document are stored at more  
16 than one location within a party's possession, custody, or control, the producing party shall not be  
17 required to search for or produce more than one such copy of the responsive document absent a  
18 showing of good cause that the production of such additional copies is necessary. With respect to  
19 documents that automatically save, only the most recent version of such documents existing at the  
20 time of collection need be searched.

21 h. Source Code. To the extent relevant to the litigation, source code will be  
22 made available for inspection pursuant to the terms of the Protective Order.

23 9. Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 502(d) and (e), the production of a privileged or work  
24 product-protected document, whether inadvertent or otherwise, is not a waiver of privilege or  
25 protection from discovery in the pending case or in any other federal or state proceeding. Disclosures  
26 among defendants' attorneys of work product or other communications relating to issues of common  
27 interest shall not affect or be deemed a waiver of any applicable privilege or protection from  
28 disclosure. For example, the mere production of privileged or work-product-protected documents

1 in this case as part of a mass production is not itself a waiver in this case or in any other federal or  
2 state proceeding. A producing party may assert privilege or protection over produced documents at  
3 any time by notifying the receiving party in writing of the assertion of privilege or protection.  
4 Information that contains privileged matter or attorney work product shall be returned immediately  
5 or destroyed if such information appears on its face to have been inadvertently produced, or if  
6 requested. The receiving party must return, sequester, or destroy ESI that the producing party claims  
7 is privileged or work product protected as provided in Rule 26(b)(5)(B) and may use such ESI only  
8 to challenge the claim of privilege or protection.

9 10. No Party is required to identify on its respective privilege log any document or  
10 communication dated after the filing of the Complaint. The parties shall exchange their respective  
11 privilege logs at a time to be agreed upon by the parties following the production of documents, or  
12 as otherwise ordered by the Court.

13 11. All produced documents and materials must be stored and maintained by the  
14 receiving party at a location and in a secure manner that ensures that access is limited to the persons  
15 authorized under the Protective Order in this case.

16 12. The parties may use keyword searches to assist in identifying relevant, non-privileged  
17 ESI responsive to requests for production. The parties shall not be required to search for or preserve  
18 information stored at locations that are inaccessible or accessible only through extraordinary  
19 measures, including backup systems/tapes, disaster recovery systems, residual, deleted, fragmented,  
20 damaged or temporary data, and/or encrypted data where the key or password cannot be ascertained  
21 after reasonable efforts. Absent a showing of good cause, voicemails, instant messaging systems,  
22 personal digital assistants, mobile phones, and social media are deemed not reasonably accessible  
23 and need not be collected and preserved.

24 13. Nothing in this Order prevents the parties from agreeing to use technology assisted  
25 review and other techniques insofar as their use improves the efficacy of discovery. Such topics  
26 should be discussed pursuant to the District's E-Discovery Guidelines.

27 14. Except as expressly stated, nothing in this Order affects the parties' discovery  
28 obligations under the Federal or Local Rules.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IT IS SO STIPULATED**, through Counsel of Record.

Dated: July 24, 2018

NI, WANG & MASSAND, PLLC

/s/ Hao Ni  
Hao Ni  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
HYPERMEDIA NAVIGATION LLC

Dated: July 24, 2018

COOLEY LLP

/s/ Heidi H. Keefe  
Heidi L. Keefe  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FACEBOOK, INC.

**FILER'S ATTESTATION**

Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 5.1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from each of the other signatories above.


Dated: July 24, 2018

COOLEY LLP

/s/ Heidi H. Keefe/  
Heidi L. Keefe  
Attorneys for Defendant  
FACEBOOK, INC.

**IT IS ORDERED** that the forgoing Agreement is approved.

Dated: 8/6/2018

  
Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT