

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KIMBERLY VAUPEN,
Plaintiff,
v.
PHIL BRANSTON,
Defendant.

Case No. [17-cv-05463-DMR](#)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

United States District Court
Northern District of California

Defendant filed a Motion to Dismiss on December 1, 2017. [Docket No. 9]. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3(a), any brief in opposition to Defendant’s motion was due on December 15, 2017, but no such opposition has been received. Plaintiff Kimberly Vaupen is ordered to respond by December 22, 2017 at 5 p.m., and show cause for her failure to respond to the motion in accordance with Civil Local Rule 7-3(a) or alternatively to file a statement of non-opposition to the motion as required by Civil Local Rule 7-3(b). This order to show cause does not constitute permission to file a late opposition. If Plaintiff Kimberly Vaupen does not respond by **December 22, 2017 at 5 p.m.**, Defendant’s motion may be granted or the case may be dismissed for failure to prosecute.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 20, 2017

