HOPKINS & CARLEY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN JOSE • PALO ALTO

28

626\2876780.1

-1-

2

3 4

5

6

7

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

This Stipulation is made pursuant to Local Rule 6-2 by and between Plaintiff PACE Anti-Piracy, Inc. ("PACE") and Defendants Inside Secure and Inside Secure Corp. (collectively, "Defendants") through their respective attorneys. PACE and Defendants (collectively, the "Parties") stipulate as follows:

STIPULATION

- 1. Defendants filed their Motion to Dismiss Complaint Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) on December 26, 2017 ("Motion to Dismiss"). The Motion to Dismiss is currently scheduled for hearing on March 22, 2018 at 2:00 p.m. (Dkt. No. 37).
- 2. Based on the filing date, the opposition to the Motion to Dismiss is due to be filed by January 9, 2018, and the reply in support of the Motion to Dismiss is due to be filed on January 16, 2018.
- 3. Given the intervening Holiday, the Parties request a modification to the briefing schedule for the Motion to Dismiss by one week. The requested modification to the briefing schedule would not have an effect on the remaining schedule for the case.
- 4. There have been three previous requests for time modifications: i) two extensions of time for Defendants to respond to PACE's Complaint (Dkt. Nos. 20 and 31); and ii) a continuance of the Initial Case Management Conference (Dkt. No. 33).
- 5. The Parties have agreed to modify the Motion to Dismiss briefing schedule as follows:

Pleading	Current Deadline	Stipulated Revised Deadline
Opposition to Motion to Dismiss	January 9, 2018	January 16, 2018
Reply in support of Motion to Dismiss	January 16, 2018	January 23, 2018

IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

-2-

IOPKINS & CARLEY

ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN JOSE • PALO ALTO

1	Dated: January 3, 2018	HOPKINS & CARLEY, A Law Corporation	
2		Dry /a/ John Disans	
3		By: /s/ John Picone John V. Picone III Attorney for Plaintiff PACE Anti-Piracy, Inc.	
4		Autorney for Flamum FACE Anti-Firacy, inc.	
5 6	Dated: January 3, 2018	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	
7		By: /s/ James Pak	
8		James Y. Pak Attorney for Defendants Inside Secure and	
9		Inside Secure Corp.	
10	ATTESTATION OF E-FILED SIGNATURE		
11	ATTESTATION OF E-FIEED SIGNATURE		
12	Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby certify that I have obtained the concurrence in the		
13	filing of this document from all signatories for whom a signature is indicated by a "conformed" signature (/ s /) within this electronically filed document and I have on file records to support this		
14			
15	concurrence for subsequent production to the Court if so ordered or for inspection upon request.		
16			
17	Dated: January 3, 2018	By: /s/ John Picone John V. Picone III	
18		Attorney for Plaintiff PACE Anti-Piracy, Inc.	
19		•	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

HOPKINS & CARLEY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN JOSE • PALO ALTO

626\2876780.1

-3-

ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: JANUARY 3, 2018 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

HOPKINS & CARLEY
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN JOSE • PALO ALTO

 $626 \hspace{-0.5mm}\backslash 2876780.1$