

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 BAMIDELE HAMBOLU, et al.,
4 Plaintiffs,
5
6 v.
7 WASSERMAN-STERN ATTORNEYS, et
al.,
8 Defendants.

Case No. 17-mc-80018-PJH

**DETERMINATION REGARDING
WHETHER COMPLAINT SHOULD BE
ACCEPTED FOR FILING**

Re: Dkt. No. 1, 12

9
10 Plaintiff Lynn Gavin is subject to a pre-filing order dated January 12, 2016 in Case
11 No. 15-cv-05202-EMC, which declared her to be a vexatious litigant. Pursuant to this
12 order, Gavin “must obtain leave of court before filing any further suits based on her
13 allegations that she was wrongfully evicted from the Parkmerced apartments in 2012.”
14 No. 15-cv-05202-EMC Dkt. 9 at 7. “The Clerk of this Court may not file or accept any
15 further complaints filed by or on behalf of Plaintiff alleging any claims of wrongful eviction
16 from the Parkmerced apartment in 2012. If Plaintiff wishes to file a complaint alleging
17 any such claims, she shall provide a copy of any such complaint, a letter requesting that
18 the complaint be filed, and a copy of this Order to the Clerk of this Court. The Clerk shall
19 then forward the complaint, letter, and copy of this Order to the Duty Judge for a
20 determination whether the complaint should be accepted for filing.” Id.

21 This matter was referred to the undersigned for a determination of whether the
22 complaint should be accepted for filing. Good cause appearing, the court orders that the
23 Clerk shall decline to accept the complaint for filing, because Lynn Gavin is named as a
24 plaintiff and the action arises out of her 2012 eviction from the Parkmerced apartment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: February 16, 2017



26
27
28
PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
United States District Judge