United States District Court Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ASSOCIATION OF IRRITATED RESIDENTS,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, ET AL.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 18-cv-01604-YGR

JUDGMENT

Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff Association of Irritated Residents ("AIR") consistent with the Court's Order Granting in Part Motions for Summary Judgment; Denying

Motion to Stay (Dkt. No. 41).

The Court **D**ECLARES that Defendants U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, *et al.* (collectively "EPA") have failed to perform a non-discretionary duty imposed by 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) by failing to act on the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District's 2016 Plan for the 2008 8-Hour Ozone Standard ("2016 Ozone Plan") by December 19, 2017.

The Court **ORDERS** EPA to approve or disapprove, in whole or in part, the 2016 Ozone Plan, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k), no later than December 19, 2018, except for the four baseline year-dependent components of the 2016 Ozone Plan.

The Court **ORDERS** EPA to approve or disapprove, in whole or in part, the four baseline year-dependent components of the 2016 Ozone Plan, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k), no later than January 31, 2019. The four baseline year-dependent components of the 2016 Ozone Plan are the (1) baseline year emissions inventory; (2) reasonable further progress demonstration; (3) contingency measures; and (4) motor vehicle emissions budgets.

24 25

26

27

28

The Court retains jurisdiction to make such orders as may be necessary or appropriate. This document constitutes a judgment and a separate document for purposes of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 58(a).

Dated: August 10, 2018

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Dockets.Justia.com