

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BECHIR SLIM,
Plaintiff,
v.
KIRSTJEN NIELSON, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [18-cv-02816-DMR](#)

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Petitioner Bechir Slim, who is in the custody of the Department of Homeland Security, has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. [Docket No. 1.] He alleges that he has been detained at the West County Detention Facility in Richmond, California for over six months without a bond hearing before an immigration judge. Petitioner alleges his continued detention violates his Fifth Amendment right to due process.

He names four individuals as Respondents: Kirstjen Nielsen, Secretary of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security; Jefferson Beauregard Sessions III, Attorney General of the United States; Erik Bonnar, Acting Field Office Director, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement; and David Livingstone, Warden of the West County Detention Center.

This action has been assigned to the undersigned Magistrate Judge. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), with written consent of all parties, a magistrate judge may conduct all proceedings in a case, including entry of judgment. Appeal will be directly to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(3). On May 14, 2018, Petitioner consented to magistrate judge jurisdiction in this matter. [Docket No. 6.]

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Good cause appearing, the court hereby issues the following orders:

1. The Clerk of the Court shall serve a Magistrate Judge jurisdiction consent form, a

1 copy of this Order, as well as the petition and all attachments thereto on Respondents and shall
2 serve copies of these documents to the U.S. Attorney for the Northern District of California.

3 2. By **June 22, 2018**, Respondents shall complete and file the Magistrate Judge
4 jurisdiction consent form to indicate whether they consent or decline to proceed before the
5 assigned Magistrate Judge. Respondents are free to withhold consent without adverse
6 consequences. If Respondents consent to a Magistrate Judge's jurisdiction, this case will be
7 handled by the undersigned Magistrate Judge. If Respondents decline, the case will be reassigned
8 to a District Judge. Whether Respondents consent or decline to proceed before the assigned
9 Magistrate Judge, the parties shall abide by the briefing schedule below.


10 3. By **June 22, 2018**, Respondents shall respond by answering the allegations and
11 showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not issue, or by filing a motion to dismiss on
12 procedural grounds;

13 4. Petitioner's traverse, opposition, or statement of non-opposition shall be filed by
14 **July 6, 2018**.

15 5. Any reply by Respondents shall be filed by **July 13, 2018**.

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18 Dated: June 8, 2018



19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28