| State of California e | et al v. United States Environmental Protection Agency Case 4:18-cv-03237-HSG Document 142 | |
|-----------------------|--|---|
| | | |
| 1 | NUTLE INITED OT ATES DISTRICT COUDT | |
| 1 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 2 | FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 3 | OAKLAND DIVISION | |
| 4 | | 1 |
| 5 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al., | Case No. 4:18-cv-03237-HSG |
| 6 | Plaintiffs, | [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING |
| 7 8 | v. | JOINT STIPULATION REQUESTING EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE FEE MOTION |
| 9 | UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, et al., | The Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. |
| 10 | Defendants. | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | [PROPOSED] ORDER | |
| 13 | BEFORE THE COURT is the Joint Stipulation Requesting an Extension of Time to File | |
| 14 | Fee Motion submitted on May 28, 2020, by Plaintiffs States of California, Illinois, Maryland, | |
| 15 | New Mexico, Oregon, Rhode Island, and Vermont, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and the | |
| 16 | California Air Resources Board (State Plaintiffs), and Defendants United States Environmental | |
| 17 | Protection Agency and Andrew R. Wheeler, in his official capacity as Administrator of the EPA. | |
| 18 | After consideration of the stipulation and declaration of Elizabeth B. Rumsey in support, the | |
| 19 | Court GRANTS the stipulated request and hereby orders that the deadline to file a fee motion is | |
| 20 | extended to the date that is 180 days after the date on which the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals | |
| 21 | issues a mandate in EPA's appeal of this matter. | |
| 22 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 23 | Dated: 5/29/2020 | Haywood S. Sully. |
| 24 | | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | 1 |
| | | 1 ting Extension of Time to File Fac Mation (4:18 av 02227 |