

1  
2  
3  
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
5 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
6

7 **SPRAWLDEF, ET AL.,**

8 Petitioners,

9 vs.

10 **CITY OF RICHMOND, ET AL.,**

11 Respondents.  
12

CASE NO. 18-cv-03918-YGR

**ORDER DENYING RESPONDENTS'  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO STAY;  
CONTINUING SCHEDULE ON PRELIMINARY  
INJUNCTION ONE WEEK ONLY**

Dkt. No. 69

13 The Court having duly considered the administrative motion (Dkt. No. 69) and opposition  
14 thereto, the administrative motion of respondents City of Richmond, *et al.*, to stay further briefing  
15 or consideration of petitioners' pending motion for preliminary injunction (Dkt. No. 64) is  
16 **DENIED.**

17 The request, in the alternative, to delay filing of the City's response to the motion for  
18 preliminary injunction until September 17, 2019, is **DENIED.** The City has not offered facts  
19 sufficient to establish good cause for a delay of this length.

20 The City shall file its response to the motion for preliminary injunction no later than  
21 **August 20, 2019.**


22 Petitioners' reply shall be filed no later than **August 27, 2019.**

23 The hearing on the preliminary injunction shall be continued from September 3, 2019, to  
24 **September 10, 2019**, at 2:00 p.m.

25 This terminates Docket No. 69.

26 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

27 Dated: August 8, 2019

28   
YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE