| Ross v. CertifiedSa | tety, Inc. et al | | Doc | 61 |
|---------------------|---|---|-------------------------|----|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| 1 | | | | |
| 2 | | | | |
| 3 | | | | |
| 4 | | | | |
| 5 | | | | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | | | | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | |
| | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | MARCELLOUS ROSS, individually | Case No. 4:18-cv-04379-HSG | | |
| 12 | MARCELLOUS ROSS, individually and on behalf of others similarly situated, | | | |
| 13 | | ORDER RE STIPULATION DISMISS VALERO REFINI | I TO | |
| 14 | Plaintiff, | COMPANY-CALIFORNIA | IIIG | |
| 15 | V. | WITHOUT PREJUDICE | | |
| 16 | CERTIFIEDSAFETY, INC.; CHEVRON CORPORATION; CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.,; VALERO ENERGY CORPORATION; and | [Complaint Filed: July 18, 201 | .8] | |
| 17 | CHEVRON U.S.A. INC.,; VALERO | | | |
| _, | VALERO REFINING COMPANY- | | | |
| 18 | CALIFORNIA, | | | |
| 19 | Defendants. | | | |
| 20 | | | | |
| 21 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: | | | |
| 22 | Pursuant to Docket 51 (Stipulation to Dismiss Valero Refining Company-California | | | |
| 23 | Without Prejudice) filed on October 31, 2018, Defendant Valero Refining Company - | | pany - | |
| 24 | California is hereby dismissed from the action without prejudice. | | | |
| 25 | Date: November 15, 2018 | | | |
| 26 | Haywood S. Gilly. | | | |
| 27 | The Honorable Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. | | | |
| 28 | | | | |
| | SMRH:487703694.3 | -1- | | |
| | 5 | | ORDER Dockets.Justia.co | om |