

1  
2  
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
4 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
5

6 **CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,**

7 Plaintiffs,

8 vs.

9 **ZAHID HASSAN SHEIKH, ET AL.,**

10 Defendants.

11 **ADVANCED DIGITAL SOLUTIONS**  
12 **INTERNATIONAL, INC.,**

13 Third- Party Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 **RAHI SYSTEMS, INC., ET AL.,**

16 Third-Party Defendants.

CASE NO. 4:18-cv-07602-YGR

**ORDER: (1) DEFERRING SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT PREFILING HEARING; (2)  
GRANTING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO  
PERMIT PLAINTIFFS TO COMPLETE  
CERTAIN THIRD-PARTY DISCOVERY  
AFTER THE FACT DISCOVERY CUTOFF;  
AND (3) REFERRING DISCOVERY DISPUTE  
RE: ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE**

Re: Dkt. Nos. 111, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

17  
18 The Court has received and reviewed the parties' filings regarding: (1) permission to file a  
19 motion for summary judgment (Dkt. Nos. 111, 114); (2) permission for plaintiffs to complete  
20 certain third-party discovery after the fact discovery cutoff (Dkt. Nos. 113, 115, 116); and (3) a  
21 discovery dispute as to the scope of the attorney-client privilege. (Dkt. No. 117) Having reviewed  
22 the filings and the record in this matter, the Court **HEREBY ORDERS** as follows:

23 First, with regard to the letters filed seeking permission to file a motion for summary  
24 judgment, the Court **DEFERS** the motion for summary judgment prefiling hearing, if any, until it  
25 decides the pending motion to stay civil proceedings until expiration of the statute of limitations.  
26 (*See* Dkt. No. 108 (motion to stay).)

27 Second, with regard to the administrative motion filed by plaintiffs seeking to permit  
28 plaintiffs to complete third-party discovery after the fact discovery cutoff, the Court **GRANTS** a

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28


limited extension on discovery. Thus, the revised fact discovery deadline is **May 1, 2020**. Anything beyond this limited extension will require a showing with more specificity than what plaintiffs demonstrated in the administrative motion.

Third, with regard to the jointly filed discovery letter brief, the Court **REFERS** the discovery dispute to Magistrate Judge Thomas S. Hixson for consideration of this issue.

This Order terminates Docket Number 113.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: April 3, 2020

  
YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

**CC: MAGISTRATE JUDGE THOMAS S. HIXSON ; MAGREF EMAIL**