1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9	IN THE UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DI	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	OAKLANI	DIVISION
12		
13	STATE OF CALIFORNIA et al.;	Case No. 4:19-cv-00872-HSG
14	Plaintiffs,	
15	v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF STATES OF CALIFORNIA AND NEW MEXICO'S MOTION FOR
16	DONALD J. TRUMP, in his official capacity	PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING SECTIONS 284, 8005, AND
17	as President of the United States of America et al.;	9002
18	Defendants.	Judge: Honorable Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.
19	Defendants.	Trial Date: None Set Action Filed: February 18, 2019
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
23 26		
20		
28		

[Proposed] Order Granting Pls. California and New Mexico's Mot. for Partial Summ. J. (4:19-cv-00872-HSG)

1	On J	une 12, 2019, Plaintiff States of California and New Mexico (Plaintiff States) filed a	
2	Motion for Partial Summary Judgment (Motion) regarding Defendants' use of sections 8005 and		
3	9002 of the	e Fiscal Year (FY) 2019 Department of Defense Appropriations Act (FY 2019 DOD	
4	Appropriations Act), Pub. L. No. 115-245, 132 Stat. 2981, 2999, 3042 (2018) and 10 U.S.C.		
5	section 284 for the construction of a barrier on the border between the United States and Mexico		
6	in the El Centro Sector in California and the El Paso Sector in New Mexico. The Court has		
7	considered the Motion and documents filed therewith, all of the papers on file in this action, and		
8	hereby GRANTS Plaintiff States' Motion and enters judgment in their favor as to each of their		
9	claims for	relief on the grounds that the undisputed evidence shows that:	
10	1.	On March 25, 2019, Defendant Department of Defense (DOD) transferred \$1 billion	
11		from DOD's Military and Personnel and Reserve account to the drug-interdiction	
12		account through the Department's transfer authority in section 8005 of the FY 2019	
13		DOD Appropriations Act (March 25 Reprogramming Action). DOD relies on its	
14		authority under 10 U.S.C. section 284 and the funds that were transferred as part of	
15		the March 25 Reprogramming Action for construction of a border barrier for El Paso	
16		Sector Project 1 on the southern border of New Mexico.	
17	2.	On May 9, 2019, DOD transferred \$819.5 million to the drug-interdiction account	
18		through the Department's transfer authority in section 8005 of the FY 2019 DOD	
19		Appropriations Act, and \$681.5 million under its special Overseas Contingency	
20		Operations transfer authority in section 9002 of the FY 2019 DOD Appropriations	
21		Act (May 9 Reprogramming Action). DOD relies on its authority under 10 U.S.C.	
22		section 284 and the funds that were transferred as part of the May 9 Reprogramming	
23		Action for construction of a border barrier for El Centro Sector Project 1 on the	
24		southern border of California.	
25	3.	The March 25 and May 9 Reprogramming Actions are ultra vires and violate the	
26		Administrative Procedure Act because they exceed congressional authority.	
27	4.	The March 25 and May 9 Reprogramming Actions violate the Administrative	
28		Procedure Act because they are arbitrary and capricious.	

1	5.	The March 25 and May 9 Reprogramming Actions violate the United States	
2		Constitution's separation of powers principles.	
3	6.	The March 25 and May 9 Reprogramming Actions violate the United States	
4		Constitution's Appropriations Clause.	
5	7.	The March 25 and May 9 Reprogramming Actions violate the United States	
6		Constitution's Presentment Clause.	
7	Having entered judgment in favor of California and New Mexico and against Defendants		
8	on the above, the Court hereby ORDERS the following relief:		
9	DECLARATION		
10	The	Court finds declaratory relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2201 is appropriate in this case. It is	
11	hereby DE	CLARED that the March 25 and May 9 Reprogramming Actions are unlawful and	
12	unconstitutional because they: (i) exceed the congressional authority conferred to the Executive		
13	Branch in violation of the Administrative Procedure Act and are ultra vires; (ii) violate the		
14	Administrative Procedure Act as arbitrary and capricious; (iii) violate the United States		
15	Constitution's separation of powers principles; (iv) violate the United States Constitution's		
16	Appropriations Clause; and (v) violate the United States Constitution's Presentment Clause.		
17		PERMANENT INJUNCTION	
18	The	Court also finds that each of the necessary elements for issuing a permanent injunction	
19	are met. In particular, the Court finds that California and New Mexico have succeeded on the		
20	merits of their claims and that absent an injunction, California and New Mexico would suffer		
21	irreparable injury; the balance of equities favor California and New Mexico; and the requested		
22	relief is in	the public interest. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65, it is now	
23	ORDERE	D that Defendants ARE HEREBY RESTRAINED AND ENJOINED from committing,	
24	performing	g, directly or indirectly, the following acts:	
25	1.	Diverting any funding or resources pursuant to sections 8005 and 9002 of the FY	
26		2019 Department of Defense Appropriations Act or 10 U.S.C. section 284 toward the	
27		construction of any barrier or border-related infrastructure and/or project along the	
28		southern borders of California and New Mexico.	

1	2.	Obligating any funding, including but not limited to, the awarding of and/or entering
2		into any contracts, toward the construction of any barrier or border-related
3		infrastructure and/or project along the southern borders of California and New
4		Mexico through the use of any funds diverted pursuant to sections 8005 and 9002 of
5		the FY 2019 DOD Appropriations Act or 10 U.S.C. section 284.
6		
7	ITI	IS SO ORDERED.
8	Dated:	
9		
10		The Honorable Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Case Name: California, et al. v Trump, et al. No. 4:19-cv-00872 (Border Wall 2019)

I hereby certify that on June 12, 2019, I electronically filed the following documents with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system:

- PLAINTIFF STATES OF CALIFORNIA AND NEW MEXICO'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING SECTIONS 284, 8005, AND 9002; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF
- PLAINTIFF STATES OF CALIFORNIA AND NEW MEXICO'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING SECTIONS 284, 8005, AND 9002
- APPENDIX OF DECLARATIONS RE: ENVIRONMENTAL HARMS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING SECTIONS 284, 8005, AND 9002
- [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF STATES OF CALIFORNIA AND NEW MEXICO'S MOTION PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING SECTIONS 284, 8005, AND 9002

I certify that **all** participants in the case are registered CM/ECF users and that service will be accomplished by the CM/ECF system.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on June 12, 2019, at San Diego, California.

V. Brizuela Declarant

Signature

SD2019100647 71856365.docx