Stat	e of Californ	i a e	t	a l	٧ .	Т	Or c	u on
1	JAMES M. BURNHAM							
2	Deputy Assistant Attorney General ALEXANDER K. HAAS							
	Director, Federal Programs Branch							
3	ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO							
4	Deputy Director, Federal Programs Branch ANDREW I. WARDEN (IN #23840-49)							
5	Senior Trial Counsel							
6	KATHRYN C. DAVIS							
7	MICHAEL J. GERARDI LESLIE COOPER VIGEN							
·	RACHAEL WESTMORELAND							
8	Trial Attorneys U.S. Department of Justice							
9	Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch							
10	1100 L Street, NW							
11	Washington, D.C. 20530 Tel.: (202) 616-5084							
	Fax: (202) 616-3084							
12	Attorneys for Defendants							
13	IINITED STATES D	ISTPICT CA	ՈՄԵՐ					
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
15	OAKLAND I	DIVISION						
16	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,							
17	Plaintiffs,	No.	4·19-cv-1	00872-HS	G			
18	,			00892-HS				
19	V.							
20	DONALD J. TRUMP, et al.,	NOT	ГІСЕ ОБ	DECISI	ON BY THI	$_{\mathrm{E}}$		
	Defendants.	DEP	PARTMI	ENT OF I	DEFENSE T			
21		_	_	E ADDIT	FIONAL PROJECTS			
22					PROJECTS I.S.C. § 284	'		
23					Ü			
24	SIERRA CLUB, et al.,							
25	Plaintiffs,							
	v.							
26								
27	DONALD J. TRUMP, et al.,							
28	Defendants.							
	State of California, et al. v. Donald J. Trump, et al., 4:19-cv							
	Sierra Club et. al. v. Donald J. Trump, et al., 4:19-cv-00892	z-nsu – Notice	oi Additio	onai § 284 P	rojecis			
					D o	c	k	е
					-			

Defendants hereby notify the Court and parties in the above-captioned cases that the Secretary of Defense has authorized three additional border barrier projects in Arizona and California pursuant to 10 U.S.C. § 284.

In the course of executing the contracts for the previously-approved Section 284 projects that have been the subject of litigation in this case, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) determined that that lower-than-expected contract costs may yield sufficient savings to build up to an additional 20 miles of border barrier. *See* Fourth Declaration of Kenneth Rapuano ¶ 4 (Exhibit 1). Specifically, USACE anticipates that the final total contract price for the projects will be less than USACE's rough-order-of-magnitude budget estimates. *Id.* USACE will not know the precise amount available until later in the fiscal year when USACE finalizes all contract pricing. *Id.* 

The surplus funds were previously transferred into the "Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense" appropriations account pursuant to Sections 8005 and 9002 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2019, Pub. L. No. 115-245, div. A, tit. VIII, 132 Stat. 2981 (2018), which resulted in the prior § 284 litigation in this case that led to the Supreme Court's stay of this Court's injunction. *See Trump v. Sierra Club*, 2019 WL 3369425 (S. Ct. July 26, 2019).

As a result of this anticipated surplus of funds, on August 16, 2019, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) sent the Department of Defense (DoD) an amendment to DHS's February 25, 2019, request for assistance under Section 284. *See* Fourth Rapuano Decl. ¶ 5 & Exhibit A. The amendment added mileage as well as new projects to the list of DHS-requested border-barrier-construction projects. *Id*.

On August 26, 2019, the Secretary of Defense authorized USACE to undertake three additional border barrier projects using excess funds obtained from contract savings on previously approved Section 284 projects, as those funds allow: Yuma Sector Projects 4 and 5, and Tucson Sector Project 4. *Id.* ¶ 6 & Exhibit A. Yuma Sector Project 4 and Tucson Sector Project 4 are located in Arizona. *Id.*, Exhibit A. Yuma Sector Project 5 consists of three